# Abstract

The register abstraction layer (RAL) in the universal verification methodology (UVM) library provides a valuable code base for easy re-use throughout the environment. In a recent PCI-Express translation and link layer verification project, the RAL model was connected to the data path via back door access to primary DUT inputs and outputs. With field-level constraints, scoreboard, and special back door access handling, our RAL model served as a full verification component. We present details on how to make RAL jump as well as some pros and cons to consider.

# DUT Registers with IO Access

![Diagram of DUT Registers with IO Access](image)

- **Reset values must propagate to inputs**
  ```verilog
class project_reg_field extends uvm_reg_field;
  string m_def_access;
  bit m_drive_reset;
  function void drive_reset();
  m_def_access = get_access();
  m_drive_reset = 1;
  endfunction
  function bit needs_update();
  return m_drive_reset || super.needs_update();
  endfunction
endclass
```

- **Monitors need to trigger backdoor read from outputs**
  ```verilog
  interface my_ral_interface_monitors;
  bit my_reg_request_updatep = 0;
  always @(posedge my_reg_request_updatep) begin
    RAL_model my_reg.request(path(UVM_BACKDOOR));
    my_reg_request_updatep = 0;
    always @(my_reg_field_A_output)
      my_reg_request_updatep <= 1;
    always @(my_reg_field_B_output)
      my_reg_request_updatep <= 1;
  endinterface
  ```

# RAL Automation

RAL base class changes are transparent and monitors algorithmic, thus the whole register model generation may be automated.

**a.** UVM RAL SystemVerilog model instantiated with global access.

**b.** RAL IO signals instantiated in SystemVerilog interface with known hierarchy.
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# Conclusions

We presented an approach for UVM RAL model back door access to DUT primary inputs and outputs. Several challenges to this approach lead us to back door path mapping, driving reset to IOs, and active monitoring as solutions. Our implementation was simple enough to integrate within the existing RAL model flow with some extensions. The base test was aware of these extensions and required some special care to build the model and drive the reset values, but test code was oblivious. The sheer volume of registers requires automation. There is a trade-off here between custom automation and third-party tool. Unless it is a company requirement to distribute one of the popular register formats, then translation into RALF, CSRSpec or SystemRL is a schedule burden. Furthermore, if field level randomization and back door path mapping is not supported by the tool vendor for these formats, then customization should be considered. Nonetheless, it may be simpler for a one-off or short-lifespan project to randomize at the register and build components to interact with a generated RAL model. This was not the case for our project.
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**Table:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Bit field</th>
<th>MSB</th>
<th>LSB</th>
<th>Access</th>
<th>IO Path</th>
<th>IO Read Path</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>device_id</td>
<td>0x00</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>RW</td>
<td>dev_id</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Our device ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rmt_device_id</td>
<td>0x08</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>RO</td>
<td></td>
<td>rmt_dev_id</td>
<td></td>
<td>Partner’s device ID</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Diagram:**

- **Reset values must be driven to DUT inputs**
  - RAL_model.reset();
  - RAL_model.drive_reset();

- **Output change triggers backdoor read from output**
  - Output change triggers backdoor read from output