

Connectivity and Beyond

Shahid Ikram¹, Joseph DErrico¹, Yasmin Farhan¹, Jim Ellis¹, Tushar Parikh² ¹Marvell Semiconductor, Inc. ²Synopsys







Outline

- Motivation
- Objective
- Connectivity
- Tools and Connectivity Flow
- Results
- Future
- Acknowledgments





Motivation

• A Typical SOC



• Source: Hardware and Software: Verification and Testing, 2015





SOC Connectivity Verification

- RTL connections verification.
 - One-to-one inter-module physical connections.
 - Logical equivalence among signals with some possible delay.
- Global connectivity rules are followed:
 - Reset
 - All instances of reset module are driven by reset signal from the reset block.
 - Only reset signal is driving reset type signals.
 - Clocks
 - All clock Gater test enable pins must not be tied off.
 - All internal clocks must be from clock_gater.
 - DFT, Debug, Fuse





Objective

- To find a comprehensive solution to connectivity verification at blocklevel, partition-level, as well as full-chip.
- Why?
 - Static and Formal tools provides orders of magnitude faster connectivity verification.
 - Higher degree of confidence(never say 100%).
 - Industry wide adaptation.





'Trust but verify' Ronald Reagan

CONNECTIVITY





Connectivity Check

• Structural Check:

- There is a structural path from the 'src' to the 'dest'. A structural path exists if:
 - There is a physical directional path from src to dest.
 - The bit width of the src and dest is equal.
 - The numbers of FFs between the src and dest is less than or equal to the path_delay.
- Functional Connectivity check:

enable_expr[*hold_time] |-> dest == \$past(src, path_delay)





Thinking a Little Higher

- Beyond one-to-one connectivity, we can think of higher level properties:
 - One-to-one restricted
 - One-to-many
 - Examples: Clocks, Resets, DFT, Scan
 - Many-to-one
 - Examples: Bus, Debug
 - Many-to-Many
- Completeness of connectivity specification.





Thinking a Little Smarter

- Why only positive connectivity checks?
 - Existence of a potentially functional path between source and destination.
- Why not check for negative connectivity checks?
 - Non-existence of a path.
- Example cases:
 - No interference among the virtual channels.
 - No data propagation from any primary input to any primary output.
 - No data propagation from any register to the rd_data output.





Checking the Checks

- Completeness check.
 - What if the specification missing some signals present in RTL?
 - We will not know about it because there is no check for it.
- Mutation Analysis
 - Stuck_at_1, Stuck_at_0
 - Inversion
- 0-Delay Circular Connectivity Check
 - A is connected to B with a 0-delay.
 - B is connected to C with a 0-delay.
 - C is connected to A with a 0-delay.
 - We got a combinational loop.





'Theory without practice is empty; practice without theory is blind.' **Kant**

TOOLS AND CONNECTIVITY FLOW





Specification Generation

- Designers' specify interconnection using YAML.
- The RTL infrastructure tools generate shells for the RTL blocks using these YAML specifications.
- The Formal connectivity specification was generated from the same YAML files.
- The specifications can be directly consumed by formal connectivity tool.

Name,Clock,Enable,Enable_hold,Source,Destination,Path_delay hier1_in1_to_hier2_in1,clk,~hier1.sel&en&c_en,2,hier1.in2,hier1.inst.in1,0 hier1_in2_to_hier2_in1,clk,hier1.sel&en&c_en,2,hier1.in2,hier1.inst.in1,1 hier2_outv_to_hier1_outv,clk,hier1.en,1,hier1.inst.outv,hier1.outv,1





Formal Connectivity Checking(CC)

- An <u>app</u> in formal verification tool set.
- The CSV output is directly processed as connectivity assertions.
- These assertions are verified against RTL.
- The tool was used to verify Partition Builder(PB) specs for:
 - Reset
 - Full-Chip
 - Design Partitions





Toggle Coverage

- A by-product of the connectivity verification.
- Toggle coverage generation using connectivity
 - Uses the same toggle coverage goals as VCS.
 - Creates a coverage database that can be merged with simulation coverage data.
- Covers the following toggle coverage goals for connected checks:
 - Start and end points.
 - Module input and output ports on path between start and end points.
 - Flip flops in the path, for checks with path delay.
 - Nets in the path.



Conference and extension C Toggle Coverage Example (© Synopsys)

- The design below have 2 connectivity checks that are proven connected:
 - add_cc -from Pi1 -to my_coreA.my_leafA.In1 -enable 1

- add_cc -from Pi2 -to my_coreA.my_leafA.In2 -enable ~Pi4

• Toggle checks at the red arrows will be covered







Formal Testbench Analyzer(FTA)

- Checking the checks.
 - What if CSV missing some signals present in RTL?
 - Formal is only checking what is present in CSV.
- Mutation Analysis.
 - Only top-level connectivity fault inserted.
 - Stuck@1, Stuck@0, Negation.
 - Formal Connectivity tool is used to generate SVA.
 - Assert final (a == b)
 - Formally verify that all of these faults activated/detected if we have these assertions.
 - The thesis: Our connectivity list should be able to catch all these fault cases otherwise we have a missing connection.
- Ensures completeness of CSV.





Extracting High-Level Specs

- We wrote scripts to extract high-level specs from the Partition builder
 - The scripts generated CSV files.
- Each CSV file is divided into four exclusive subsets:
 - One-to-one connections.
 - One-to-many connections.
 - Many-to-one connections.
 - Many-to-many connections.
- Errors in specification:
 - Duplicated connections.
 - Loops





Verifying High-Level Specs

- Used a static verification tool(SpyGlass).
- One-to-one restricted connection.

Illegal_path -from {"alpha"} -except_to {"beta"}

• One-to-many connection.

illegal_path -from {"Reset_Driver.resets"} -except_to {"*resets"}

• Many-to-one connection.

Illegal_path -from {"*bus_drivers"} -except_to {"bus"}





User-Defined Checks

- SOC integration checking.
- No interference among the virtual channels.
- No data propagation from any primary input to any primary output.
- No data propagation from any register to the rd_data output.
- Formal Security Verification App:
 - Jtag is not influencing the cold_reset behavior in anyway.

fsv_generate -name jtag_cold -src jtag -dest cold_reset





The Regression Flow







'To be or not to be, that is the question!' Shakespeare

RESULTS





Connections

- Full-Chip
- Design Partitions
- Clocks
- Resets
- Design for Testability(DFT)/Scan
- Fuse
- Debug Bus connections
- Virtual channels





Results

- Found loops in the specification.
- Found missing signals in RTL which were part of the specification.
- Found bad-connections i.e. path does not exist or not properly specified in terms of delay etc.
- Verified 1-1, 1-M, and M-1 rules.
- Verified completeness of specification for multiple partitions as well as full-chip.
- Generated toggle coverage from connectivity proofs.
- Full-chip integration Verification.





A Sample Bug

MODULE	Results	Non-Activated	Error Signal
C	FPV_FTA 	<pre>[1062] non_activated - c.fault_id_13 (/rtl/c.v:68) [1173] non_activated - c.fault_id_14 (/rtl/c.v:68) [1284] non_activated - c.fault_id_15 (/rtl/c.v:68)</pre>	clk_obs "Present in C.v but missing from C_vcformal.csv" Fix: C_test_fta,clk,,,C.c_clk_wra pcclk_out,c.clk_obs,0





Formal Vs. Static Tools

Partition	Specs	SpyGlass	VCFormal
A	Total=641 Passed=630 Failed=11	Total Time(S) :6131.36 CPU Time(S) :5960 Peak Memory(MB):23469	Total Time(S) :934.35 CPU Time(S) :216.85 Peak Memory(MB):2676
В	Total=670 passed=670	Total Time(S) :5233.59 CPU Time(S) :5167 Peak Memory(MB):16358	Total Time(S) :544.81 CPU Time(S) :420.78 Peak Memory(MB):7770
С	Total=247 Passed=247	Total Time(S) :256169 CPU Time(S) :251781 Peak Memory(MB):166524	Total Time(S) :11007.50 CPU Time(S) :8527.24 Peak Memory(MB):59224
D	Total=2400 Passed=x Failed = x Undecided=x	Total Time(S) :246990 CPU Time(S) :246236 Peak Memory(MB):102461	No convergence.





Toggle Coverage

				<verdi:vdcoverage:1><vdb: cpt<="" th=""><th>tco</th><th><pre>>dbv.vdb></pre></th><th></th><th></th></vdb:></verdi:vdcoverage:1>	tco	<pre>>dbv.vdb></pre>					
File View <u>P</u> lan <u>E</u> xclusion Tool <u>s</u> <u>W</u> indow <u>H</u> elp											
🗀 🗀 🖪 🔣 🌠 🛃 🏫 🗐 🗙 🖓 💿 O Tree	8 1		🗸 🖛 🔁 🕴 🚺 👘 🗸 +VE	💿 🖬 🗳 🦚 C 🔏 🗝							
Summary	Cov	CovSrc.1: cpt		₹ 2 - □	0	CovDetail					
Hierarchy Modules Groups Asserts Statistics Tests	<	<all></all>		14/sikram/t9x_pcl/t93/rtl/cpt/project/rtl/cpt/cp	L	ine Toggle FSM Condition Branch Asser	t				
* *	0	286	logic	cpt cluster1 cpt	1						
● Name △ Score Toggle			ctlemu_epcil_clk_ena_0;			Variable	Type	Coverage			
in and the second secon	0	287	logic	cpt_cluster1cpt	ſ	└── ▲ cpt_cluster0cpt_ctlemu_ep	signal	100.009			
	0	288	logic	cpt_cluster1cpt_ 🗖 🗖		cpt_cluster0cpt_ctl_emu_ep	signal	100.009			
			ctlemu_epcil_clk_ena_10;			<pre>cpt_cluster0cpt_ctl_emu_ep</pre>	signal	100.009			
	0	289	logic	cpt_clusterlcpt		<pre>cpt_cluster0cpt_ctl_emu_ep</pre>	signal	100.009			
	0	290	logic	cpt cluster1 cpt		<pre>a cpt_cluster0cpt_cti_emu_ep</pre>	signal	100.009			
			ctlemu_epcil_clk_ena_12;				signal	100.009			
	0	291	logic	cpt_clusterlcpt		▲ cpt_cluster0 _ cpt_ctl_emu_ep	signal	100.009			
			ctlemu_epcil_clk_ena_13;	and all and and and		···▲ cpt cluster0 cpt ctl emu ep	signal	100.009			
	l °	292	ctl emu epcil clk epa 14:	cpt_clustericpt_		····▲ cpt_cluster0cpt_ctl_emu_ep	signal	100.009			
	0	293	logic	cpt_clusterlcpt_		cpt_cluster0cpt_ctl_emu_ep	signal	100.009			
			ctlemu_epcil_clk_ena_15;					1 <u></u>			
	0	294	logic	cpt_cluster1cpt_		Variable 0->1 1->0	depth				
		205	ctiemu_epcii_cik_ena_16;	cpt cluster1 cpt		└···▲ cpt_cluster0 ✓ ✓	0->1	1 1->0: 1			
	Ĭ	295	ctl emu epcil clk ena 17;	cpc_crusterrcpc_							
	0	296	logic	cpt_clusterlcpt_							
			ctlemu_epcil_clk_ena_18;								
	0	297	logic	cpt_clusterlcpt							
		298	logic	cpt cluster1 cpt							
	Ĩ	200	ctlemu_epcil_clk_ena_2;								
	0	299	logic	cpt_cluster1cpt_							
		205	ctlemu_epcil_clk_ena_20;								
	0	300	ctl emu epcil clk ena 21:	cpt_cluster1cpt_							
			COL CAR ENGLI OIX ENG ZI)								

Message

The design '/nfs/dv44/sikram/t9x_pcl/t93/rtl/cpt/cptcov.vdb' was loaded successfully.

The following test is loaded from "/nfs/dv44/sikram/t9x_pcl/t93/rtl/cpt/cptcov.vdb",

/nfs/dv44/sikram/t9x_pcl/t93/rtl/cpt/cptcov/test_vc_cov_0





Future Work

- Tighter Integration of tools.
- Integrating unreachability coverage analysis into the flow.
 - IP configurations.
 - False failures.
- More innovative use of Formal Security Tool.





Acknowledgments

- It takes a village.
 - Cameron Glass(Marvell)
 - Joel Longever(Marvell)
 - Eddie Langevin(Marvell)
 - Bill Dufresne(Synopsys)
 - Gregory Milano(Synopsys)
 - Anthony Joseph(Synopsys)
 - Anders Nordstrom(Synopsys)





THANKS

