

Automated Seed Selection Algorithm for an Arbitrary Test Suite



David Crutchfield, Cypress Semiconductor Brian Craw, Cypress Semiconductor Jim Sharpe, Cypress Semiconductor Brandon Skaggs, Cypress Semiconductor





- Randomizable Test Benches and Coverage
- Verification Management System and Randomization
- Test Ranking
- Blindly Choosing Seeds
- Proposed Algorithm
- Results (the good and the bad)
- Future Work



Randomizable Test Benches and Coverage

- Constrained Random Verification
 - Methodology to apply pseudo-random stimulus to the DUT
- SystemVerilog provides coverage construct to measure completeness
 - Pseudo-random stimulus applied until 100% of coverage metrics met
- SystemVerilog seed used as start value of randomization
- Random stability is not guaranteed
 - Coverage from seeds can change due to many factors
 - New/Modified constraints, DUT changes, Simulator versions
- Large regressions require a methodology to efficiently select seeds



Verification Management System and Randomization

- VMS Verification Management System
- Established a standard approach to:
 - Design and test bench organization
 - Specification of tools arguments
 - Test list creation
 - Regression status / coverage





Verification Management System and Randomization

• VMS SV seed control and randomization

Option	Description	
-num_seeds	Specifies the number of SV seeds to generate	
-rand_seed	Use this argument to seed the random number generator	
 -sv_seed	Specifies explicitly which SV seed to use (no generation)	$\left \right $

test1	-num_seeds 10 -rand_seed random	//10 random seeds
test2	-num_seeds 3 -rand_seed 1000	//seed generator with 100
test3	-sv_seed 12345678	//SV seed of 12345678

0





- Mentor Questa stores coverage in UCDB (Unified Coverage DataBase)
- UCDB for each test => merged UCDB
- UCDBs ranked for coverage by Questa VM (Verification Management)
 - Separated into contributing and non-contributing

ranktest.contrib

<path>/test1_203493581.ucdb <path>/test1_2301405129.ucdb <path>/test1_271092741.ucdb <path>/test2_3359947225.ucdb <path>/test2_4247070545.ucdb

ranktest.noncontrib <path>/test1_2055117863.ucdb <path>/test1_1637509452.ucdb <path>/test2_3444222990.ucdb <path>/test3_12345678.ucdb



Blindly Choosing Seeds

- Little thought put into test contribution to coverage
- Just choose X number of seeds per test for each regression
 - Shotgun approach
 - Leads to large coverage redundancy among test-seed pairs
 - Wastes licenses and hardware resources





- Key Components:
 - Eliminate tests that don't contribute
 - Reward tests with more seeds in proportion to their contribution
 - Low contributing tests provide diminishing returns over time













Test List







Done



Proposed Algorithm

- Based on Ranking Results identify only Contributing Tests
- Start with this for new test list

Identify Contributing Tests (CT) from Current Regression





- Reward based on Contribution
- What should Reward be?
- For paper, Reward is multiplied if maximum Contribution, "Double Down"





Results (Methods Tried)

- Two different test benches tried with 10 tests each
- Methods tried
 - Shotgun
 - >> Ask for ten random seeds per test for each regression
 - Algorithm with weighting factors; $W_s=2$, $W_{fc}=1$ (no double down)
 - > Reward all contributing tests by giving them 2x more seeds
 - Algorithm with weighting factors; $W_s=2$, $W_{fc}=2$ (double down)
 - > Reward all contributing tests by giving them 2x more seeds
 - > Reward all maximum contribution tests with 2x more seeds
- T_{hc}=0 for all methods (Run until incremental coverage is 0)



Results TB1 – Shotgun

- 100 tests each regression, regardless of contribution
- 900 total tests executed

Test #	Reg 1	Reg 2	Reg 3	Reg 4	Reg 5	Reg 6	Reg 7	Reg 8	Reg 9	Totals	Seeds Kept Per Test
T ₁	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	90	13
T_2	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	90	41
T_3	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	90	8
T_4	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	90	38
T_5	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	90	20
T ₆	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	90	6
T_7	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	90	8
T ₈	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	90	9
T9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	90	6
T ₁₀	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	90	11
Totals	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	900	160



Results TB1 – Shotgun

- 160 seeds kept
- 77.47% coverage after 51 hours

Regr #	egr # Coverage % Tests Run		Contributing Tests per Iteration	Final Rank Contribution	Total Wall Clock Time (s)	Total Kept Seeds
1	76.05	100	69	19	22612.77	69
2	76.26	100	52	16	44114.21	101
3	76.74	100	33	17	65462.10	120
4	76.98	100	30	16	86872.50	135
5	76.99	100	30	19	107173.24	146
6	77.04	100	28	22	128018.57	158
7	77.24	100	27	24	147417.34	159
8	77.47	100	14	12	166907.69	158
9	77.47	100	15	15	186266.24	160



Results TB1 – W_s =2, W_{fc} =1

- Tests per regression depends on contribution
- Stopped after Reg 6
- 600 total tests executed

Test #	Reg 1	Reg 2	Reg 3	Reg 4	Reg 5	Reg 6	Reg 7	Reg 8	Reg 9	Totals	Seeds Kept Per Test
T ₁	10	16	14	2	2	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	46	13
T_2	10	20	34	38	30	16	N/A	N/A	N/A	148	41
T_3	10	10	6	2	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	28	8
T_4	10	20	30	36	32	20	N/A	N/A	N/A	148	38
T_5	10	16	18	14	6	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	68	20
T_6	10	6	2	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	18	6
T_7	10	10	6	2	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	28	8
T ₈	10	14	4	2	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	30	9
T 9	10	14	8	4	4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	40	6
T ₁₀	10	12	12	8	2	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	46	11
Totals	100	138	134	108	76	44	0	0	0	600	160



Results TB1 – W_s =2, W_{fc} =1

- 160 seeds kept
- 78.00% coverage after 40 hours
- Shotgun coverage of 77.47% met after Reg 3 (24 hours)

Regr # Coverage % Te		Tests Run	Contributing Tests per Iteration	Final Rank Contribution	Total Wall Clock Time (s)	Total Kept Seeds
1	76.05	100	69	27	22612.77	69
2	76.68	138	67	35	54187.42	114
3	77.55	134	54	37	87251.37	134
4	77.78	108	38	25	113711.20	148
5	78.00	76	22	23	133868.65	152
6	78.00	44	13	13	144371.49	160
7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



Results TB1 – W_s =2, W_{fc} =2

- Tests per regression depends on contribution
- Stopped after Reg 6
- 666 total tests executed

Test #	Reg 1	Reg 2	Reg 3	Reg 4	Reg 5	Reg 6	Reg 7	Reg 8	Reg 9	Totals	Seeds Kept Per Test
T ₁	10	16	12	8	4	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	56	12
T_2	10	40	48	40	34	20	N/A	N/A	N/A	192	51
T_3	10	10	4	2	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	28	6
T_4	10	40	58	44	24	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	186	45
T_5	10	16	10	6	2	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	44	14
T_6	10	6	6	2	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	24	5
T_7	10	10	2	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	22	6
T ₈	10	14	6	6	2	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	38	7
T9	10	14	6	2	0	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	32	7
T ₁₀	10	12	12	6	4	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	46	10
Totals	100	178	164	116	70	38	0	0	0	666	163



Results TB1 – W_s =2, W_{fc} =2

- 163 seeds kept
- 79.02% coverage after 47 hours
- Shotgun coverage of 77.47% met after Reg 2 (19 hours)

Regr #	Coverage %	Tests Run	Contributing Tests per Iteration	Final Rank Contribution	Total Wall Clock Time (s)	Total Kept Seeds
1	76.05	100	69	28	22612.77	69
2	77.78	178	82	41	67125.55	126
3	78.03	164	58	35	111433.47	142
4	78.63	116	35	29	141276.32	151
5	79.02	70	20	19	159551.93	156
6	79.02	38	11	11	169013.31	163
7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



Results TB1

- Graph of three trials
- Algorithm always better than shotgun (coverage and runtime)





Results TB2 – Shotgun

- 100 tests each regression, regardless of contribution
- 900 total tests executed
- 159 seeds kept
- 73.94% coverage after 321 hours

Regr #	Regr #Coverage %Tests Run		Contributing Tests per Iteration	Final Rank Contribution	Total Wall Clock Time (s)	Total Kept Seeds
1	72.88%	100	50	20	112214.64	50
2	73.20%	100	32	17	245781.12	65
3	73.35%	100	29	19	407193.75	91
4	73.43%	100	29	15	554897.93	105
5	73.89%	100	25	19	665679.11	123
6	73.90%	100	24	13	780300.98	134
7	73.93%	100	24	21	895211.77	147
8	73.94%	100	20	19	1005816.78	154
9	73.94%	100	16	16	1154565.67	159



Results TB2 – W_s =2, W_{fc} =1

- Tests per regression depends on contribution
- Stopped after Reg 9
- 818 total tests executed

Test #	Reg 1	Reg 2	Reg 3	Reg 4	Reg 5	Reg 6	Reg 7	Reg 8	Reg 9	Totals	Seeds Kept Per Test
T ₁	10	20	30	34	28	18	8	2	2	152	44
T_2	10	20	24	12	6	0	0	0	0	72	16
T_3	10	14	10	2	0	0	0	0	0	36	6
T_4	10	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	3
T ₅	10	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	0
T ₆	10	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0
T ₇	10	10	8	2	2	0	0	0	0	32	5
T ₈	10	20	36	62	90	82	66	54	38	458	131
T9	10	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	1
T ₁₀	10	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	1
Totals	100	100	110	112	126	100	74	56	40	818	207



Results TB2 – W_s =2, W_{fc} =1

- 207 seeds kept
- 74.39% coverage after 1392 hours!
- Shotgun coverage of 73.94% met after Reg 4 (409 hours)

Regr #	Regr # Coverage % Tests Run		Contributing Tests per Iteration	Final Rank Contribution	Total Wall Clock Time (s)	Total Kept Seeds
1	72.88%	100	50	17	112214.64	50
2	73.56%	100	55	25	327634.83	88
3	73.68%	110	56	37	773717.09	124
4	74.12%	112	63	30	1471147.61	166
5	74.16%	126	50	35	2587410.89	184
6	74.19%	100	37	24	3378029.35	202
7	74.38%	74	28	24	3954061.21	203
8	74.39%	56	20	18	4614303.64	202
9	74.39%	40	7	7	5009957.93	207



Future Work

- Understand the right reward
 - Proportional to coverage?
 - Should length of test be a factor?
 - Proportional to coverage / length of test?
- Build statistical data from more regressions