A Universal DFT Verification Environment: Filling the Gap between Function Simulation and ATE Test

Rui Huang No.2, Science Institute South Rd. Haidian District, Beijing, China 100190

Abstract-The DFT (Design For Testability) design has become more and more complex accompanying the increasing scale of SoC (System on Chip). How to verify DFT logic completely in simulation and how to supply test patterns with high coverage to ATE (Automatic Test Equipment) test are important for post-silicon debug and yield increase. While verification methodology is evolving, innovating, and entering the UVM (Universal Verification Methodology) era, DFT verification needs to keep pace to leverage the advantages of UVM, and thereby to increase test reusability, extendibility and functional coverage, etc. This paper presents a general UVM-based DFT verification environment, which can be used from modular DFT verification to SoC DFT verification, and it can generate functionally equivalent STIL (Standard Test Interface Language) test patterns for ATE test during SoC simulation. This paper also presents a method to model hierarchically networked DFT TDR (Test Data Register) at RAL (Register Abstract Level) in the UVM environment to allow test writers focus on test sequences without taking care of the details in TDR read and write operations.

I. INTRODUCTION

In DFT (Design For Testability) domain, the test patterns running on an ATE (Automatic Test Equipment) can be categorized into two types: scan related and non-scan related. The former can be generated using ATPG (Automatic Test Pattern Generation) tools, while the latter cannot. Like other function tests, these non-scan DFT function tests are normally created by design verification engineers using languages such as System Verilog or C++. However, ATEs need test patterns described by STIL (Standard Test Interface Language) or other test languages.

To fill the gap, there is usually a dedicated team to transfer function simulation to ATE test environment, or alternatively in-house automation flows are developed to enforce complex rules on test writing and register specification documentation, which are specific for a given environment and difficult to migrate.

This paper provides a universal and more efficient solution by introducing a UVM (Universal Verification Methodology) based DFT verification environment that naturally generates test patterns in STIL format during simulation and can be plugged into any UVM-based environment. This method applies to other formats that ATEs need as well.

For ultra-large-scale SoC (System on Chip), IEEE 1149.1 protocol alone cannot satisfy the DFT design requirements, therefore the IEEE 1687 and 1500 protocols are usually adopted to enable modular and hierarchical DFT test access, leading to challenges when writing test sequences at RAL (Register Abstract Level), as different protocol TDRs (Test Data Register) are hierarchically located in a network connected via IEEE 1687. To access a TDR, one or more levels 1687 SIBs (Segment Insertion Bit) have to be opened and the length of DR (Data Register) chain varies with SIB values. The author also comes up with a general way to model hierarchically networked DFT TDR (Test Data Register) at RAL.

A. Structure of This Paper

This paper is divided into four parts. The first part is about how to build a UVM-based DFT verification environment that can generate STIL test patterns naturally. Then the second part will focus on the method of lifting DFT TDR to RAL. The third part answers how to verify whether the generated STIL pattern works. The fourth part is result discussion and conclusions.

In both of the first and second parts, the method we developed will be elaborated as follows: first, a general overview will be provided, and then the detailed implementation will be elaborated with reference to an example.

II. UVM-BASED DFT VERIFICATION ENVIRONMENT

B. Idea Overview

The STIL test pattern describes test stimulus using vectors which specify the pad drive and measurement information (called STIL information hereinafter) in a time period.

A UVM test usually contains one or several sequences, which are finally broken down into streams of UVM sequence items (a.k.a transactions) and passed to UVM drivers. The UVM drivers are normally used to drive and sample pads of DUT (Design Under Test), meaning that they also contain the STIL information passing through. In fact, as to be demonstrated in this paper, the UVM drivers are the best supplier of STIL information.

With the precondition that any pad drive and sample are controlled by a UVM driver, which enforces no direct pad connection in the testbench (except for clock pads), simply by collecting all STIL information from the drivers and then writing them out according to the time stamp of the STIL information, we can obtain complete test vectors of a certain UVM test when the simulation finishes.

Thus, we can categorize the pads of a SoC into the following types from the DFT functional simulation perspective:

- IEEE 1149.1 compliance on-chip TAP (Test Access Port). Hereinafter, it is simply called JTAG (Joint Test Action Group) interface as shown in Table I, which is the most important interface for DFT design. Please note that in Table I, *read_not_write* signal is not defined in IEEE 1149.1, as it is an internal signal only used in this environment, for more description please refer to Section C.5.
- 2) Clock pads, which are clocks that need to toggle in DFT functional simulation. See Section D for more description.
- 3) Reset pads. All the reset related pads are categorized into this type.
- 4) Other pads. Except for type 1) to 3) abovementioned, the remaining pads are categorized into this type. See Section E for more description.

In Figure 1, *jtag_driver*, *clock_driver*, *reset_driver*, and *pad_driver* correspond to the above four pad types, respectively. The *STIL_generator* collects STIL information from these drivers and writes them to a STIL pattern file.

C. jtag_agent Implementation

In Figure 2, *jtag_agent* is composed of *jtag_sequencer*, *jtag_monitor*, and *jtag_driver*, all of them configured through *jtag_agent_configuration*.



Figure 1. UVM-based DFT verification environment.

TAI jtag Interfa	BLE I ACE DEFINITION
JTAC	3 Interface
Pad Direction	Pad Name
input	TCK
input	TMS
input	TRST_L
input	TDI
output	TDO
input	read not write



Figure 2. jtag_agent block diagram.

C.1. jtag_agent_configuration Class

Figure 3 shows the properties and a key method (*pad_info_init* ()) of *jtag_agent_configuration* class.

Figure 3. jtag_agent_configuration properties and pad_info_init () method

C.2. jtag_transaction Class

Figure 4 shows the properties of *jtag_transaction* class.

o_ir is a dynamic array to store the instruction operation code (a.k.a OPCODE) being sent to the DUT's IEEE 1149.1 FSM (Finite State Machine) IR (Instruction Register) and *o_ir_length* is its size.

 o_dr is a dynamic array to store the data being sent to the DUT's IEEE 1149.1 FSM DR (Data Register) and o dr length is its size.

tdo_dr_queue, *tdo_ir_queue*, *tdi_dr_queue*, and *tdi_ir_queue* store the data during shift IR or DR state monitored by *jtag monitor*.

chk_ir_tdo and *chk_dr_tdo* are flags to indicate *jtag_driver* whether to check TDO cycle-by-cycle during shift IR or DR state.

exp_tdo_dr_queue is the golden data expecting the DUT TDO output during shift DR state, which is used by *jtag driver* to check the TDO data on the fly.

exp_tdo_dr_mask_queue indicates which bit in *exp_tdo_dr_queue* needs not to check.

exp_tdo_ir_queue is the golden data expecting the DUT TDO output during shift IR state, which is used by *jtag driver* to check the TDO data on the fly.

read_not_write is a flag indicating *jtag_monitor* whether it is a read or write operation for the current transaction. Please see Section C.5 for more details.

C.3. JTAG Interface Connection in Testbench

This paper categorizes pads of a SoC into four types, which are driven by different drivers, so the JTAG interface shown in Table I is driven by *clock driver*, *reset driver*, and *jtag driver* as shown in Figure 5.

Figure 6 is *jtag_if* interface definition that does not contain all signals shown in Table I because of the categorization of pads. The rest signals are defined in *clock_if* and *reset_if* interfaces.

C.4. jtag_driver Class

IEEE 1149.1 protocol is implemented in *jtag_driver*, which fetches every *jtag_transaction* sequence item from *jtag_sequencer*, drives the JTAG interface's TDI and TMS, and samples TDO if *chk_ir_tdo* or *chk_dr_tdo* flag is on. *exp_tdo_dr_queue* and *exp_tdo_ir_queue* store the expected golden values, which also will be used as the golden measure information for TDO in the generated STIL pattern.

If the *gen_stil_file* knob is on, *jtag_driver* not only needs to drive and sample pads – it also converts such information to STIL information (handled by the *call_stil_gen* () method), and then sends it to *STIL_generator* through an analysis port, which is an object of *uvm_analysis_port* class specialized with *stil_info_transaction* type.

<pre>class jtag_transaction extends</pre>	uvm_sequence_item;
bit	o_ir[];
rand int unsigned	o_dr_length;
rand int unsigned	o_ir_length;
bit	o_dr[];
<pre>//tdo_dr_queue/tdo_ir_queue</pre>	store tdo data
bit	tdo_dr_queue[\$];
bit	<pre>tdo_ir_queue[\$];</pre>
<pre>//tdi_dr_queue/tdi_ir_queue</pre>	store tdi data
bit	tdi_dr_queue[\$];
bit	<pre>tdi_ir_queue[\$];</pre>
bit	chk_ir_tdo;
bit	chk_dr_tdo;
bit	exp_tdo_dr_queue[\$];
bit	<pre>exp_tdo_dr_mask_queue[\$];</pre>
bit	exp_tdo_ir_queue[\$];
rand bit	<pre>read_not_write;</pre>
endclass:jtag_transaction	

Figure 4. jtag_transaction properties definition.



Figure 5. JTAG interface toplevel connection.



Figure 6. Signals defined in *jtag_if* interface.

In Figure 2, let us suppose the *jtag_driver*'s FSM is in shift DR state and it is going to shift three bits 101 to the DUT and sample TDO data during the shift operation. The golden TDO data are three bits 110.

At TCK negative edge a, the *jtag_driver* keeps TSM low to let the DUT's FSM stay in shift DR state and drives TDI high to send out the first bit out. The *call stil gen* () method converts this information as shown in line 1.

At TCK positive edge b, the *jtag_driver* samples TDO and compares it with the golden value, which is one bit 1. The *call stil gen* () method converts this information as shown in line 2.

At TCK negative edge c, the *jtag_driver* keeps TSM low to let the DUT's FSM stay in shift DR state and drives TDI low to send out the second bit out. The *call stil gen* () method converts this information as shown in line 3.

At TCK positive edge d, the *jtag_driver* samples TDO and compares it with the golden value, which is one bit 1. The *call stil gen* () method converts this information as shown in line 4.

At TCK negative edge e, the *jtag_driver* drives TSM low to let the DUT's FSM go to exit1 DR state and drives TDI high to send out the last bit out. The *call stil gen* () method converts this information as shown in line 5.

At TCK positive edge f, the *jtag_driver* samples TDO and compares it with the golden value, which is one bit 0. The *call_stil_gen* () method converts this information as shown in line 6.

C.5. jtag_monitor Class

There is a signal called *read_not_write* defined in the JTAG interface, as shown in Table I, which is only used by *jtag_monitor* to indicate whether the current transaction is a write operation or a read operation.

JTAG interface is a serial bus, while shifting TDI to a register, data stored in it is being shifted out on TDO, so there is not a really so-called write or read operation.

Here, we define write operation and read operation in concept for RAL convenience.

Read operation: data being shifted in a register is the same as the data stored in it.

Write operation: data being shifted in a register is different with the data stored in it.

jtag_monitor monitors the JTAG interface activity, sampling TDI or TDO according to the *read_not_write* signal, composing the *jtag_transaction* sequence items and then passing them to the *dft_tdr_laying* as shown in the blue arrows of Figure 1.

D. Clock Pads Connection in Testbench

In the STIL pattern file, the *Timing* block defines sets of "*WaveformTables*". Each *WaveformTable* defines the waveforms to be applied to each signal used in a vector [1]. Because DFT function tests only use the JTAG interface to configure TDRs, we define one *WaveformTable* in the generated STIL pattern file and use TCK's half period as the *WaveformTable*'s *Period*. For other clocks, they are described to have the same frequency as TCK in the STIL pattern file but they are connected to desired frequencies from ATE during post-silicon test. Therefore, *clock_driver* only needs to drive TCK during simulation, and other clocks are generated from testbench (this is the only exception where the clock pads are allowed to drive from testbench in this environment).

As shown in Figure 7 for an example, the DUT has two PLL reference clocks and a bypass clock, which need active during simulation, named PLL1_REF, PLL2_REF, and BYPASS_CLK.



Figure 7. An example of clock pads connection in testbench.

The *clock_gen* module at the toplevel takes charge of these three clocks' toggle. TCK of the JTAG interface is generated by the *clock driver*.

If the *gen_stil_file* knob is on, the *clock_driver* needs to pass TCK drive information to the the *call_stil_gen* () method at the same time when it drives TCK, and the *call_stil_gen* () method uses the TCK drive information as all active clocks' drive information and pass the STIL information to the *STIL_generator*, as shown in Figure 7 line1 to line4.

For an ATE test, the PLL1_REF, PLL2_REF, and BYPASS_CLK toggle information in the STIL pattern can be regarded as a placeholder to make post silicon engineers aware that these three clocks are reference clocks, so that they will not use the toggle information described in STIL patterns to drive reference clocks, but use clocks supplied by ATE with desired frequencies.

E. pad agent Implementation

Figure 8 shows the components in *pad_agent* and the execution flow in *pad_driver*, which fetches *pad_rw_transaction* from *pad_sequencer*.

The pad type 4) defined in Section B can be subgrouped according to their function or interface protocol. Taking the memory pads, GPIO pads, and scan control pads as examples, each of them could be put in a separate subgroup.

Figure 9 is an example of subgrouping pads that define the *pad_if* interface according to their interface protocols.

In Figure 8, the *pad_init* () method initializes all subgroups pads in turn at the beginning of the *run_phase* task of the *pad_driver*, and the *call_stil_gen* () method converts this information to STIL information and writes to the *STIL generator* through an analysis port.

Figure 10 displays all properties of the *pad rw transaction* class.

grp num is used to indicate the pad dirver which group of pads to drive.

in_data_queue stores the data being driven by the *pad_driver*.

out_data_queue stores the data being sampled by the pad_driver.

inout_data_queue stores the data being driven or sampled by the *pad_driver*. An unknown bit in the queue indicates *pad_driver* the corresponding pad is in output mode and it will write the sampled pad value into the same location.

exp_out_data_queue and *exp_inout_data_queue* stores both golden values to let *pad_driver* check on the fly and the information for STIL pattern to measure the pads value during a time period of conversion by the *call_stil_gen* () method.

Please note these queue types should be logic instead of bit in order to store four state values.



Figure 8. pad_agent block diagram.



Figure 9. An example of defining *pad if* interface in subgroups.

<pre>class pad_rw_trans</pre>	<pre>saction extends uvm_sequence_item;</pre>
int unsigned	grp_num;
logic	in_data_queue[\$];
logic	<pre>out_data_queue[\$];</pre>
logic	inout_data_queue[\$];
logic	<pre>exp_out_data_queue[\$];</pre>
logic	<pre>exp_inout_data_queue[\$];</pre>
endclass: pad_rw_t	transaction

Figure 10. pad_rw_transaction properties definition

E.1. pad agent configuration Class

Figure 11 is an example of the *pad agent configuration* class, which has two subgroups of pads.

A DFT test needs to initialize every group's package name by calling the *pad_info_init* () method before the main phase objection and stores it in the configuration database for pad driver and STIL generator fetch. F. reset driver Class

Figure 12 is an example of *reset_driver* that drives all resets signals defined in the *reset_if* interface where the call stil gen () method converts the drive information to STIL information and writes it to STIL generator through an analysis port.

G. STIL generator Implementation

The STIL generator, which extends from uvm subscriber class specialized with stil info transaction type, has four analysis exports to connect with clock driver, reset driver, pad driver, and jtag driver's analysis port separately. Since the uvm subscriber class has only one built-in analysis export, the uvm analysis imp decl macro needs to be used to declare analysis imp export and its associated *write* () method for the remaining analysis export [2].

<pre>class pad_agent_configuratio `uvm_object_utils(pad_agent_configuration)</pre>	on extends uvm_object; gent_configuration)
virtual pad_if bit string string string	<pre>pad_vi; gen_stil_file; grp0_in_name[`PAD_GRP0_IN_NUM]; grp0_out_name[`PAD_GRP0_OUT_NUM]; grp0_inout_name[`PAD_GRP0_INOUT_NUM];</pre>
string string string	<pre>grp1_in_name[`PAD_GRP1_IN_NUM]; grp1_out_name[`PAD_GRP1_OUT_NUM]; grp1_inout_name[`PAD_GRP1_INOUT_NUM];</pre>
<pre>function new(string name super.new(name); endfunction: new</pre>	e = "");
<pre>function void pad_info_ir grp0_in_name[0] = "MEN grp0_in_name[1] = "MEN </pre>	nit(); MDATA0"; MDATA1";
grp1_in_name[0] = "GP] grp1_in_name[1] = "GP]	IO0"; IO1";
endfunction: pad_info_ini endclass: pad agent configu	it ration

Figure 11. pad_agent_configuration properties definition example



Figure 12. An example of *reset_driver*.

stil_info_transaction is defined in Figure 13. *stil_info* is pads drive and measure information, and *comment_info* is the comment going to be printed out with the *stil_info*.

In Figure 14, each driver's analysis port has its corresponding *write* () method, a semaphore which has only one key and a group of Ping-Pong buffers which have two variables, called *ping_data_rdy* and *pong_data_rdy*, to indicate the Ping-Pong buffer status.

The *stil_info_transaction* written through a driver's analysis port is stored in a Ping-Pong buffer group, each buffer stores one *stil_info_transaction*.

The *STIL_generator* needs to collect all *stil_info_transaction* coming from the same simulation time slot, to concatenate *stil_info* of every *stil_info_transaction*, and to write them out as a single test vector. To make sure *STIL_generator* does not miss any *stil_info_transaction* from the same time slot, it has to suspend the *run_phase* task in the *STIL_generator* until all other *run_phase* tasks finish. However, in UVM, because all *uvm_component run_phase* tasks are executed in parallel and the *STIL_generator* itself is an *uvm_component*, there is no easy way to schedule the simulation events in *STIL_generator*'s *run_phase* task that is to be executed after all other drivers' *run_phase* tasks finish.

To resolve this issue, a group of Ping-Pong buffers is introduced. The *write* () method always writes the ping buffer first and then the pong buffer, so the ping data and pong data come at different simulation time slots. Once a group of Ping-Pong buffers is full, which indicates the simulation has already moved forward, it will be the right time to collect all ping buffer data and write them out.

The *run_phase* task of *STIL_generator*, as shown in Figure 14, always checks if there is at least one driver whose Ping-Pong buffer group is full. If the result is true, it will query each key of the semaphore belonging to the corresponding driver. Once it gets all the keys, it will then fetch all ping buffer data, update the Ping-Pong buffer groups (if the ping and pong buffers are both empty, do nothing; if the ping buffer is full and the pong buffer is empty, clear *ping_data_rdy*; if the ping and pong buffers are both full, copy the pong buffer data to the ping buffer and clear *pong_data_rdy*), and put back all keys and write a test vector to the STIL pattern.

class stil_	_info_transaction extends uvm_sequence_item;
ùvm_c	<pre>object_utils(stil_info_transaction)</pre>
string	stil_info;
string	comment_info;
string	report_id;
endclass	: stil_info_transaction

Figure 13. stil_info_transaction properties.



Figure 14. STIL_generator block diagram.

III. DFT TDR ABSTRACTION

H. Idea Overview

For ultra-large-scale SoC, usually there is a group of TDRs, which are either IEEE 1500 or IEEE 1149.1 compliant, being used to configure a block of the DFT design. The TDR groups among different blocks are chained together using IEEE 1687 protocol. Figure 15 is an example of DFT TDR access network.

It is necessary to level up the TDR access in RAL, so as to make it easy to migrate UVM tests developing from this UVM-based DFT verification environment among verification environments and tests from block to system level. By doing this, test writers can focus on test sequences as such rather than the complex operation of accessing every TDR hierarchically located in the network.

For non-UVM-based environments, the normal way is to define a base class according to its protocol (for example, to define an IEEE1500 TDR base class and an IEEE 1149.1 TDR base class) and wrap up a TDR access operation inside its extension. When the DFT access network changes, the wrapped-up access operation in each TDR class has to be updated accordingly. Such work is usually time-consuming. However, the method of modelling DFT TDR in UVM-based environments is rarely seen in literature to the author's knowledge.

This paper presents a way to abstract TDR in UVM-based environments that is neat and easy to maintain, as shown in Figure 16.

We can encode a TDR's location information into its address, as shown in Figure 17, and model an equivalent TDR access network named *dft_tdr_network* in *dft_tdr_monitor*, as shown in Figure 16.

In Figure 1, the reg2bus direction is shown in red lines, where the *dft_tdr_trans_to_jtag_trans_sequence* fetches *dft_tdr_transactions*, unpacks address, decodes SIB code to get the TDR location information, and then generates *jtag_transactions* to *jtag_sequencer* [3]. For the bus2reg direction shown in blue lines, the *dft_tdr_network* maintains network status using *jtag_transactions* from *jtag_monitor*. When the *sib_nodes* value hit the SIB code in the *dft_tdr_block*, *dft_tdr_monitor* writes a *dft_tdr_transaction* to *dft_tdr_predictor*.

In this way, the TDR class definition can be very neat, and only needs to declare each bit field of it. Figure 19 is an example of a TDR class definition. When TDR access network changes, we only need to update *dft_tdr_network* and *dft_tdr_trans_to_jtag_trans_sequence*, while all TDR class definitions do not need any update, which can save a lot of test environment setup time.



SIB code

Figure 17. TDR address encode.

I. DFT TDR Access Network Modelling

In the DFT TDR access network, a SIB bit and a TDR bit can be modelled as shown in Figure 18.

The *out_update* () method is to model the active clock edge that triggers the shift register bit during shift operation, and the *value_update* () method is to model the active clock edge that triggers the update register bit during the update operation.

dft_tdr_network uses *sib_node* and *reg_node* to construct a network equivalent to the DUT.

And it only needs to model each 1500 client's IR and a WDR (Wrapper Data Register) whose length is dynamic, which can calculate from *jtag_transaction* coming from *jtag_monitor* and current network chain length. It needs not to actually model every TDR, because each time only a TDR can be configured in a 1500 client. *J. DFT TDR Class Definition*

The DFT TDR class definition is similar to other function registers, which extend from *uvm_reg* class. A bypass TDR that has only one bit field is defined in Figure 19 for an example.



Figure 18. TDR access network element modelling.

<pre>class ieee1149_bypass_reg extends uvm_reg;</pre>
<pre>function new(string name = "ieee1149_bypass_reg"); super.new(.name(name), .n_bits(`BYPASS_LENGTH), .has_coverage(UVM_NO_COVERAGE)); endfunction: new virtual function void build(); bypass = uvm_reg_field::type_id::create("bypass"); bypass.configure(.parent (this),</pre>
<pre>virtual function void build(); bypass = uvm_reg_field::type_id::create("bypass"); bypass.configure(.parent (this),</pre>
<pre>.lsb_pos (0), .access ("RW"), .volatile (0), .reset (1), .has_reset (1), .is_rand (1), .individually_accessible(0)); endfunction: build endclass: ieee1149_bypass_reg</pre>

Figure 19. DFT TDR definition example.

K. dft_tdr_transaction Class and bus_reg_ext Class

The **bus_reg_ext** class is used for sending golden values to **jtag_driver** when doing register read or write in RAL. **dft_tdr_adpter** colons the extension information to the handle of extension in **dft_tdr_transaction** in bus2reg direction.

Figure 20 and Figure 21 show all properties of the *dft_tdr_transaction* and *bus_reg_ext* class.

read_not_write indicates whether the current register operation is a *UVM_READ* or *UVM_WRITE* kind. *address* is the encoded TDR address.

If the current register access kind is *UVM_WRITE*, *dft_tdr_adapter* shifts write data to *wr_data_q*. If the current register access kind is *UVM_READ*, *dft_tdr_adapter* shifts the default value of the register to *wr_data_q*.

extension is an object of the *bus_reg_ext* class. It is used to transfer the side information for TDO pad checking in RAL.

reg_length stores the current register's length.

In the bus2reg direction, if the current register access kind is UVM_WRITE , $dft_tdr_adapter$ returns data in wr_data_q , otherwise it returns data in rd_data_q .

IV. STIL TEST PATTERN VERIFICATION

In order to verify the content and behaviours of the generated STIL file, we can use STIL VerifyTM to generate a Verilog testbench and re-run simulation before delivering to ATE test engineers. The STIL file is verified if the simulation passes in STIL VerifyTM generated Verilog testbench.

STIL VerifyTM is a free verification utility provided by Mentor Graphics for checking the conformity of STIL files, which ensures that STIL files are syntactically correct, and features a Verilog testbench that allows EDA (Electronic Design Automation) and ATE tool developers to run and display STIL content in any Verilog simulator taking STIL file and DUT as input [4].

V. DISCUSSION

In Figure 1, *pad_agent* is mostly a physical layer agent that only drives and samples pads directed by *pad_rw_transactions*, and has no knowledge about the interface protocols, although it groups pads based on their interface protocols. If needed, the user can implement an upper layer agent to convert protocol-related transactions to *pad_info_transactions* and pass them down to *pad_agent*.

For the sake of simplification, this paper focuses on describing how to build a verification environment that can convert UVM tests to test patterns for ATE test during simulation, and the common components such as coverage collectors and scoreboards are not shown, the user can easily implement them using sequence items coming from *jtag monitor*, *dft tdr monitor*, and *pad monitor*.

Figure 22 is an example of building an upper layer agent that includes a scoreboard and a coverage collector using the sequence items from *pad_monitor*, above the *pad_agent*. Inside *scan_agnet*, scan related protocols are implemented in *scan_trans_to_pad_rw_trans_sequence*, which converts each *scan_transaction* to a serial of *pad_rw_transactions*. *scan_monitor* collects *pad_rw_transactions* and converts them into *scan_transactions*.



Figure 20. dft_tdr_transaction properties definition.

Figure 21. bus_reg_ext properties definition.



Figure 22. An example of building upper layer agent above pad_agent

Because we enforce every pad drive and sample should be done by a driver except for the reference clock pads and each driver passes STIL information to the *STIL_generator* whenever it drivers and samples a pad, the generated STIL pattern is in function equivalent to its corresponding UVM test. Coverage statistics, which is gathered from coverage collectors to rank a UVM test, is also used to rate the generated STIL pattern.

VI. CONCLUSION

This UVM-based DFT environment can be easily adopted in most projects for DFT function verification by overriding *dft_env_configuration*, grouping pads as shown in Section B, and defining related interfaces.

By modifying the *call_stil_gen* () method in each driver to transfer pad drive and measure information to the format of the other test language required, this environment could also generate other format patterns ATE needs, not just the STIL format.

Using this method, it saves usually a team's work to translate DFT function tests to STIL patterns in a project, and more important, it avoids errors introduced in the manual translation process to save turnaround debug efforts.

The approach to lift TDR in RAL is also a general way and can be applied in most projects by modelling related *dft_tdr_network* and overriding *dft_tdr_trans_to_jtag_trans_sequence*. Moreover, it makes it easy to migrate UVM tests developing from this UVM-based DFT verification environment among verification environments and tests from block to system levels.

This UVM-based DFT environment works well in an experiment project and the generated STIL test pattern files pass simulation using STIL VerifyTM, which indicates it could be applied in real projects.

The next step of work will be to use this environment in real projects and validate it in post-silicon debug.

REFERENCES

- [1] IEEE Standard Test Interface Language (STIL) for Digital Test Vector Data, Section 5. STIL orientation and capabilities tutorial (informative), p. 10.
- [2] S. Sutherland, and T. Fitzpatrick, "UVM rapid adoption: a practical subset of UVM," DVCON 2015, p. 21.
- [3] Mentor Graphics, Online UVM Cookbook (<u>http://verificationacademy.com/cookbook</u>), pp. 302–307.
- [4] Mentor Graphics (<u>https://www.mentor.com/products/silicon-yield/getting_started_stil</u>).