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Focus of this tutorial

• RISC-V is changing the options that SoC designers have in their tool kits
• RISC-V means many teams are designing new processors, or modifying source of processors
• For RISC-V anybody can be ‘an architecture licensee’
• And every CPU needs verifying... in detail... (its not like buying in pre-verified IP)
• Many people are new to CPU DV for the first time
  • Traditionally done behind closed doors in commercial/proprietary companies

• This presentation aims to introduce the main approaches of RISC-V CPU DV
• And discusses pros and cons of the different approaches
• Also it introduces the main components needed in any RISC-V processor DV environment
RISC-V processor verification tutorial
Items to be covered, main takeaways

• Introduction to RISC-V
• Overview of the issues when verifying the design of a RISC-V CPU
• Different approaches to verifying a RISC-V CPU
• Main components of a verification testbench
• Introduction to simulators for RISC-V CPUs
• Use of various virtual platform components in verification
• Discussion of different reference model requirements
• Introduction to instruction stream generators
• Status of RISC-V compliance and its relationship to verification
• Pointers to some useful architectural validation test suites
• Understanding a complete SystemVerilog testbench via a detailed walk through demonstration
Introduction to Imperas
Involvement with RISC-V

- Imperas develops simulators, tools, debuggers, modeling technology, and models to help embedded systems developers get their software running...
- ...and hardware developers get their designs correct
- 14+ years, self funded, profitable, UK based, team with much EDA (simulators, verification), processors, and embedded experience
- Staff worked in Arm, MIPS, Tensilica, Cadence, Synopsys
- and in verification in EDA on development of Verilog, VCS, SystemVerilog, Verisity and their methodologies
- Started work with customers on RISC-V in 2017
- Contributed to RISC-V compliance since 2018, RISC-V DV since 2019
- Our RISC-V focus is CPU verification
- We provide configurable reference models, the fastest highest quality simulators, advanced development tools and the absolute best solution for RISC-V hardware design verification
- 20+ of the leading RISC-V CPU developers use and rely on Imperas solutions

www.imperas.com  www.OVPworld.org
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For each topic and item we will try to introduce, explain, even demo technologies and products that are available – to give you a feeling of current state-of-the-art

We will also introduce and walk through some open source solutions
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RISC-V History

• RISC-V (pronounced "risk-five") is an open standard instruction set architecture (ISA) that began in 2010 and is based on established reduced instruction set computer (RISC) principles

• Unlike most other ISA designs, RISC-V is provided under open source licenses that do not require fees to use

• The project began in 2010 at the University of California, Berkeley, but now many current contributors are volunteers not affiliated with the university

• Unlike other academic designs which are typically optimized only for simplicity of exposition, the designers intended that the RISC-V instruction set be usable for practical computers
RISC-V == Freedom…

Freedoms enabled by RISC-V are a huge opportunity
RISC-V == Freedom…

Freedoms enabled by RISC-V are a huge opportunity

Freedoms enabled by RISC-V are a huge challenge for verification

the largest change in the industry since? ...
Challenges in RISC-V CPU DV

- Feature selection and choices require serious consideration due to implications of every choice
  - Experienced architecture teams know the costs associated with every feature
    - Every addition dramatically increases (doubles ?) verification & compounds verification complexity
    - Costs of simple added feature can be huge – and unknown to inexperienced teams
    - Adds schedule, resources, quality costs == big risks...
- As of 2021, No off-the-shelf toolkit/products available for DV of processors
  - No EDA vendor has ‘RISC-V CPU DV kit’ product
  - There are in-house proprietary solutions in CPU developers... Intel, AMD, Arm, ...
  - Building your own adds schedule, resources, quality costs – and risks
- Current SoC cost is 50% for HW DV (with CPUs bought in as proven IP)
  - Developing own CPU adds huge DV incremental schedule, resources, quality challenges
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A CPU HW DV project timeline

• Source/build/hire/allocate the expert team to do the work...
• Focus on what needs to be verified – develop measurement metrics
• Develop Verification Plan (and measurement metrics)
• Determine EDA tools and models and methods to be used
• Simulation choices: open source, commercial, bespoke, SystemVerilog, UVM, FPGA, Emulation, ...
• Formal...
• Get tools, verification IP (VIP), testbenches, models in place
• Obtain tests, create tests
• Generate huge number of (constrained random) tests
• Verify, triage and fix issues, continue...
• … continue while measuring until functional and code coverage metrics reached
• Benchmark, soak and integration testing
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During this section, several components will be mentioned... like ISS, and ISG – these may be introduced as we go, or may be discussed in more detail in later sections of this tutorial
• Brief Introduction to RISC-V

• RISC-V CPU HW DV approaches
  • #0 “hello world” test
  • #1 self checking tests (e.g. Berkeley torture tests pre2018)
  • #2 Post simulation trace log file compare (e.g. Google riscv-dv 2019)
  • #3 sync-lock-step-compare (e.g. CV32E40P in OpenHW 1H 2020)
  • #4 async-lock-step-compare (e.g. CV32E40P in OpenHW 2H H2020)
  • #5 test bench use of standards (RVVI) (e.g. CV32E40X/S in OpenHW 2021)

• Components of RISC-V CPU DV environment
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Note that not all projects have the same requirements, schedule or verification needs – so each project’s DV needs may / will differ
Agenda

• Brief Introduction to RISC-V
• RISC-V CPU HW DV approaches
  • #0 “hello world” test
  • #1 self checking tests (e.g. Berkeley torture tests pre2018)
  • #2 Post simulation trace log file compare (e.g. Google riscv-dv 2019)
  • #3 sync-lock-step-compare (e.g. CV32E40P in OpenHW 1H 2020)
  • #4 async-lock-step-compare (e.g. CV32E40P in OpenHW 2H H2020)
  • #5 test bench use of standards (RVVI) (e.g. CV32E40X/S in OpenHW 2021)
• Components of RISC-V CPU DV environment
#0: ‘Hello World’ DV

- “if I can get a program to run – then my DV is done... right?”
- “my DV challenge is sorted if I can get Linux to boot on my design...”

- Basically this level of DV is where developer feels if they can get their current compilation of their current program to run (through one path) - then their silicon design job is done

- This may be fine for test chips, research, academic, hobbyists, but NOT for products
- This approach is often due to lack of knowledge or interest in quality, ...
‘Hello World’ DV

• This requires either an HDL simulator, or an FPGA, or some silicon, and a test harness of some form to allow it to run programs...

• This is not DV!
Agenda

- Brief Introduction to RISC-V
- RISC-V CPU HW DV approaches
  - #0 “hello world” test
  - #1 self checking tests (e.g. Berkeley torture tests pre2018)
  - #2 Post simulation trace log file compare (e.g. Google riscv-dv 2019)
  - #3 sync-lock-step-compare (e.g. CV32E40P in OpenHW 1H 2020)
  - #4 async-lock-step-compare (e.g. CV32E40P in OpenHW 2H H2020)
  - #5 test bench use of standards (RVVI) (e.g. CV32E40X/S in OpenHW 2021)
- Components of RISC-V CPU DV environment
#1: Simple (results) check (1a)

- Run RTL DUT in testbench
  - (no real testbench)
  - Just loads & runs the test program

- Each test program checks its results = go/no go test
  - Prints message to log
  - or writes bit to memory
#1: Simple (results) check (1b)
(use e.g. riscvOVPsim ISS from GitHub)

- Run RTL DUT in testbench
  - (no real testbench)
  - Just loads & runs the test program
- Either
  - Each test program checks its results = go/no go test
    - Prints message to log
    - or writes bit to memory
  - Or, then run ISS, write log or signature file
    - Compare/diff file results (afterwards)
    - This is the approach taken by RISCV International for their architectural validation ("compliance tests")
#1: Simple check
(use e.g. riscvOVPsim ISS from GitHub)

- **Summary**
  - Very simple, needs basic ISS, and tool chains
    - Free ISS: [https://github.com/riscv-ovpsim](https://github.com/riscv-ovpsim)
    - Free compiler: [https://github.com/Imperas/riscv-toolchains](https://github.com/Imperas/riscv-toolchains)
  - Basic bring up
  - Good for simple test runs
  - Basic functionality testing
  - Still need accurate, configurable, version selectable, complete, reference model

- Not a robust DV solution
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#2: Entry Level DV: post-sim trace-compare
(use e.g. riscvOVPsimPlus ISS from OVPworld)

- **Process**
  - use random generator (ISG) to create tests
  - during simulation of ISS write trace log file
  - during simulation of RTL write trace log file
  - at the end of both runs, run logs through compare program to see differences / failures

- **ISS: riscvOVPsimPlus includes Trace and GDB interface**
  - Free ISS: [https://www.ovpworld.org/riscvOVPsimPlus](https://www.ovpworld.org/riscvOVPsimPlus)

- **ISG: riscv-dv from Google Cloud / Chips Alliance**
  - Free ISG: [https://github.com/google/riscv-dv](https://github.com/google/riscv-dv)
#2: Entry Level DV: post-sim trace-compare
(Use e.g. riscvOVPsimPlus ISS from OVPWorld)

- **Process**
  - Use random generator (ISG) to create tests
  - During simulation of ISS write trace log file
  - During simulation of RTL write trace log file
  - At the end of both runs, run logs through compare program to see differences / failures

- **ISS: riscvOVPsimPlus** Includes Trace and GDB interface
  - Free ISS: https://www.ovpworld.org/riscvOVPsimPlus

- **ISG: riscv-dv** from Google Cloud / Chips Alliance
  - Free ISG: https://github.com/google/riscv-dv

Note: This is not co-sim...
#2: Entry Level DV: post-sim trace-compare (use e.g. riscvOVPsimPlus ISS from OVPworld)

Summary

- Compares files created after test runs
- Can be signature, logging, or instruction trace
- Can use random ISG as no need to know expected results...
- Usually the easiest method to implement (dependent on tracing formats)
  - Capture of program flow (monitor the PC)
  - Capture of program data (monitor the Registers)
- Potentially very large data files
- Potential for wasteful execution (if early failure)
- Will not work for on async events, control flow, or hardware real time effects, MP, OoO, multi-issue, ...
- Not a robust DV solution for commercial cores

- Can engage with Imperas for licenses of reference models and optional development to add customer own instructions, CSR, behaviors
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#3: Industrial Quality Sync DV (sync-lock-step-compare)

- Tandem lockstep run – both reference and DUT run together in lock step
- Not very complex to obtain, set up
- Compare PC, CSRs, GPRs, other internal state – instruction by instruction
- No requirement on data saving
- No requirement on known good results in test

- Will not work for async events and control flow, ...
  – it is all about the data flow

- [OpenHW evolved into using async – see later slides]

Example flow:

Coverage Driven Verification of OpenHW CORE-V Processors with Imperas RISC-V Golden Reference Model

- SystemVerilog UVM Step and Compare flow using Imperas Reference Model
- Imperas OVP model is encapsulated into SystemVerilog testbench module
- Control block - steps both CPUs, extracting data and comparing results

1st Generation OpenHW flow (1H2020)
#3: Industrial Quality Sync DV
(sync-lock-step-compare)

Summary

- Instruction by instruction lockstep comparison (excludes async events)
  - Comparison of execution flow
  - Comparison of program data
  - Comparison of programmers and internal state
- Immediate comparison
  - Allows for debug introspection at point of failure – very powerful
  - Does not waste execution cycles after failure
- Will not work for async events, control flow, or hardware real time effects, ...
- Not too hard to develop & set up (depends on RTL DUT tracer features)
- Lock-Step / Compare is by far the best and most efficient approach
  - But does not address async events (see level #4)

- Need to engage with vendors such as Imperas for licenses of reference models and optional development to add customer own instructions, CSR, behaviors

© Imperas Software Ltd.
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#4: Industrial Highest Quality Async DV (async-lock-step-compare)

- Builds on & extends Industrial Quality sync-lock-step-compare DV
- Adds focus on async capabilities
- Depending on design this can include: OoO, MP, debug mode, interrupts, multi-issue, ...
  - Example SystemVerilog Components
    - tracer: Reports instructions for checking and register writebacks
    - step_and_compare: Manages the reference model and checks functionality
    - interrupt_assert: Properties for interrupt coverage/checking
    - debug_assert: Properties for debug coverage/checking
- Typically hard, complex, and expensive to get working
  - Challenge is extracting async info from micro-architecture RTL pipeline
    - See latest developments with RVVI and ImperasDV

Example flow:

2nd generation CV32E40P OpenHW flow (2H2020) (Imperas model encapsulated in SystemVerilog)
Summary

• Instruction by instruction lockstep comparison (includes async events)
  • Comparison of execution flow, of program data, of programmers and internal state

• Immediate comparison
  • Allows for debug introspection at point of failure – very powerful
  • Does not waste execution cycles after failure

• Includes focus on async events, control flow, and hardware real time effects

• Can be hard to develop & set up (depends on RTL DUT tracer features and pipeline understanding)
  • See latest development for RVVI and ImperasDV

• Can be expensive in terms of time, resources, licenses and costs a lot per bug found
  • But the bugs are even more expensive if not found early enough...

• Lockstep / Compare is by far the best and most efficient approach (industry ‘gold standard’)
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Challenges moving forward – the need for standards

- There are many different components needed:
  - DUT & its encapsulation
    - ‘tracer’ information
    - Control
  - Reference model & its encapsulation
    - Configuration
    - Comparisons
    - Synchronization
    - Asynchronous operations
    - Control
  - Functional coverage measurement & assertions
  - Test bench
    - Configuration
    - Overall control
    - Scoreboarding
    - Reporting / Logging
  - Tests (directed or generated)
    - Program linker scripts and binary file reader

- And each component has different interfaces and requirements on the interfaces
Challenges moving forward – the need for standards

- There are many different components needed:
  - DUT & its encapsulation
    - ‘tracer’ information
    - Control
  - Reference model & its encapsulation
    - Configuration
    - Comparisons
    - Synchronization
    - Asynchronous operations
    - Control
  - Functional coverage measurement & assertions
  - Test bench
    - Configuration
    - Overall control
    - Scoreboarding
    - Reporting / Logging
  - Tests (directed or generated)
    - Program linker scripts and binary file reader
- And each component has different interfaces and requirements on the interfaces

It would be a disaster for RISC-V if every design team had to re-invent everything...
Why need standard interfaces?

• There are many blocks required in DV solutions
  • They all have different interfaces and these interfaces need defining
  • They may come from different developers / suppliers
  • They may be used in different projects and processor configurations / generations

• If standards exist, then verification IP can be created and licensed

• Goals when developing standard interfaces:
  • block re-use
  • common ways to do things
  • quick start-up
  • efficiency
Why adopt a standard?

• You have to use some interfaces
• No need to re-invent on your own – they do not need to be proprietary
• RVVI is an open standard available on GitHub
• RVVI (and its predecessor) have already been flushed out and are in use
• There is no downside to adoption
• Upside to adoption: potentially make use of other tools / code
• What tools / technologies can potentially be (re)used
  • Encapsulation of reference models
  • Test benches & test bench components (including onward connection to reference models)
  • Functional coverage & assertions
  • Log file writer
  • Signature file writer (for RISC-V compliance testing)
RVVI: RISC-V Verification Interface

History

• This RVVI work has evolved from over 2 years experience
  • Imperas, EM Micro, and SiLabs, ..., working with several RISC-V verification projects
  • Collaboration with OpenHW Group (https://github.com/openhwgroup/core-v-verif)
    • Re-usable test bench for Core-V range of open-source RISC-V cores

• Also... there was previously the RISC-V Formal Interface (RVFI) – targeting formal tools
  • https://github.com/SymbioticEDA/riscv-formal
    • Interface for providing observation into a running core by streaming what is executing on the core (i.e. the basic trace data / functionality)
  • For quality RISC-V processor DV more is needed (than RVFI)
    • And each user needs to extend it with proprietary extensions (which is not the right approach...)
  • The RVVI-VLG interface has some parts very similar to the RVFI
    • RVVI-VLG can be thought of as an updated superset of RVFI
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#5 Evolving to use developing standards (RVVI)

Focus is on developing standard interfaces between components
- Allows reuse
- Allows development of independent VIP

Two main components to consider
- DUT
- Reference model

Use bespoke tracer+control, (RVVI or proprietary) for Interface to DUT RTL

Use RVVI for interface to reference Model
RVVI: RISC-V Verification Interface (driven by RISC-V DV usage)

- [https://github.com/riscv-verification/RVVI](https://github.com/riscv-verification/RVVI) (Public Open Standard)
- RVVI-VLG
  - Verilog DUT interfaces
    - RVVI-VLG state – streaming ‘tracer’ data
    - RVVI-VLG nets – implementation dependent (Interrupts, Debug)
    - Handles multi-hart, multi-issue, Out-of-Order
- RVVI-API
  - Controls DV subsystem and reference model
    - C/C++
    - SystemVerilog
- RVVI-VPI (work-in-progress (Feb. 2022))
  - Virtual Peripheral Interfaces
    - timers, interrupts, debug, random, printer/uart, ...
  - Verilog and C macros & examples
SystemVerilog test bench using RVVI and components

Functional coverage measurement

- RVVI-VLG (Verilog header)
  - used by functional coverage, rvvi-api
  - SystemVerilog Interface
    - RVVI_state (PC, GPR, CSR,...)
    - RVVI_net (Interrupts, Debug)

RISC-V Core RTL (DUT)

- Tracer in e.g. RVVI-VLG (or proprietary)
- Tracer->TB
- DUT<>TB CONTROL
- bus/mem i/f
- Mem
- DUT control (init, step, shutdown)

Test bench / harness control, sequencing, compare SystemVerilog

- Instruction Stream Generator
- Directed Tests
- Tests
- RVVI-VPI Test bench virtual peripherals

Test bench virtual peripherals

- DPI
- RVVI-API

- RVVI-API (C/C++ Header)
  - rvvi (for set up)
  - rvviRef (to control ref, to compare)
  - rvviDut (to mirror dut)

RISC-V reference Model

Directed Tests

Instruction Stream Generator

SystemVerilog

Binary object

DV
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5 main CPU DV components

Functional Coverage & assertions

Tests: Directed & Instruction Stream Generator

DUT ‘with tracer’

Test bench / harness
control, sequencing, compare SystemVerilog

Reference Model DV subsystem

RISC-V CoreRTL (DUT)

Tracer e.g. RVV-VLG (or proprietary)

Test bench

DUT CONTROL

RISC-V reference Model

DV

Functional coverage

Test

Directed Tests

Instruction Stream Generator

Directed Tests
RTL DUT with ‘tracer’ interface

• The key component – the DUT being tested
  • Includes memory model and bus interfaces

• Requires a ‘tracer’ to provide appropriate data to the test bench
• Requires control interface so test bench can step through events
• Quality of the ‘tracer’ determines the potential capabilities of the DV
• Can be RVVI, bespoke, or bespoke + extensions + control
Tests: Directed & Instruction Stream Generator

- Generates test programs
- Usually using constrained random approach
- Most often obtain one:
  - Commercial such as Valtrix STING
  - Open source e.g. Google riscv-dv (written in SystemVerilog)

- Acquire suites of tests
  - Imperas make some available

- May require toolchains like GCC, LLVM for assemblers, linkers
• When verifying a CPU design - you can never have enough tests...

• Imperas have developed a directed RISC-V test generator, instruction coverage measuring VIP, and a test qualifying mutating fault simulator to provide high quality test suites

• The generated tests suites are targeting architectural compatibility as defined in the RVIA architectural test working group coverage requirements

• There are currently over 50 free test suites, including
  • I,M,C,F,D,B,K,V,P
    • The provided vector test suite is one specific vector engine configuration

• The test suites are provided under an OVP open source license and are available free from: https://github.com/riscv-ovpsim/imperas-riscv-tests
Functional Coverage & assertions

- Normally written in SystemVerilog using covergroups, coverpoints, and assertions
- Targets specific measurements as required in verification plan
- Connects to RVVI-QLG from ‘tracer’
- Typically includes
  - Standard ISA instruction extension measurement
  - Sequential instruction monitoring for e.g. hazards, etc.
  - Privilege model items such as interrupts, exceptions, debug mode
  - User specifics related to pipeline and micro-architecture
- Requires SystemVerilog simulator
Test Bench / Harness

- Instances and connects all the subsystems
- Controls the stepping of events and instructions
- Connects the data & signals between the DUT and reference subsystem synchronizers and comparators
- Can have virtual peripherals such as uart for logging, or timers for asynchronous event / interrupt generation
- Can be in SystemVerilog for DUT in RTL
- Can be in C/C++ for DUT in C based compiled simulator
- Imperas provides templates in C/C++ and SystemVerilog

Test bench / harness control, sequencing, compare SystemVerilog

RVVI-VPI Test bench virtual peripherals
Reference model DV Subsystem

- Three main components
  - RISC-V reference model
  - DV infrastructure to control model, etc.
  - RVVI interface into test bench / harness

- Responsible for
  - Configuration of model
  - Comparisons between DUT and reference state
  - Synchronization due to pipeline affects
  - Asynchronous operations
  - Control of model
Reference model DV Subsystem

- Three main components
  - **RISC-V reference model**
    - DV infrastructure to control model, etc.
    - RVVI interface into test bench / harness
- Responsible for
  - Configuration of model
  - Comparisons between DUT and reference state
  - Synchronization due to pipeline affects
  - Asynchronous operations
  - Control of model
Key component is Reference Model

- RISC-V is highly configurable & extendable
  - 200... Questions?

- So it can get a little.... complicated
Example reference model: Imperas

- Imperas provides full RISC-V Specification envelope model
- Industrial quality model /simulator of RISC-V processors for use in compliance, verification and test development
- Complete, fully functional, configurable model / simulator
  - All 32bit and 64bit features of ratified User and Privilege RISC-V specs
    - Unprivileged versions 2.2, 20191213
    - Privilege versions 1.10, 1.11, 1.12
  - Vector extension, versions 0.7.1, 0.8, 0.9, 1.0
  - Bit Manipulation extension, versions 0.91, 0.92, 0.93, 1.0.0
  - Hypervisor version 0.6.1, 1.0.0
  - K-Crypto Scalar version 0.7.1, 1.0.0
  - Debug versions 0.13.2, 0.14, 1.0.0
  - P DSP/SIMD versions 0.5.2, 0.9.6
  - Zicbom, Zicbop, Zicboz, Zmmul, Zfh, Zfinx, Zce
  - Svmnapot, Svpbmt, Svinval, Smstaten, Smepmp, ...
- Model source included under Apache 2.0 open source license
- Used as reference by :
  - Mellanox/Nvidia, Seagate, NSITEXE/Denso, Google Cloud, Chips Alliance, lowRISC, OpenHW Group, Andes, Valtrix, SiFive, Codasip, MIPS, Nagra/Kudelski, Silicon Labs, RISC-V Compliance Working Group, ...

Imperas is used as RISC-V Golden Reference Model

http://www.imperas.com/riscv
Imperas Model extensibility

Imperas develops and maintains base model
- Base model implements RISC-V specification in full
- Fully configurable to select which ISA extensions
- Fully configurable to select which version of each ISA extension
  - Updated very regularly as ISA extension specification versions change
- Fully configurable for all RISC-V specification options
  - e.g. implemented optional CSRs, read only or read/write bits etc…

Imperas provides methodology to easily extend base model
- Templates to add new instructions
- Code fragments for adding functionality
- 100+ page user guide/reference manual with many examples
  - Includes example extended processor model

Imperas model is architected for easy extension & maintenance

- Separate source files and no duplication to ensure easy maintenance
- Imperas or user can develop the extension
- User extension source can be proprietary
User feedback of Imperas as a reference

- “Andes is pleased to **certify the Imperas model and simulator** as a reference for the new Vector processor NX27V, and is already actively used by our mutual customers.”
  - Charlie Hong-Men Su, CTO / EVP at Andes Technology Corp

- "We have **selected Imperas simulation tools and RISC-V models** for our design verification flow because of the **quality of the models and the ease of use** of the Imperas environment. Imperas reference model of the complete RISC-V specification, the ability to add our custom instructions to the model and their experience with processor RTL DV flows were also important to our decision."
  - Shlomit Weiss, Senior Vice President of Silicon Engineering at Mellanox / Nvidia

- "The OpenHW Group charter is to deliver high quality processor IP cores for our leading commercial members and open source community adoption. Central to this goal, the OpenHW Verification Task Group developed and published a DV test plan and implemented an open engineering-in-progress approach as we complete the verification tasks using the **Imperas golden RISC-V reference model**."
  - Rick O’Connor, Founder and CEO at OpenHW Group

- “Imperas are the pioneers in simulation technology and processor verification for RISC-V. Codasip is very proud of our rigorous approach to verification – **using Imperas as an important part of our quality process** furthers extend our differentiation. The Imperas independence, reputation and technical strength provides our customers with further reassurance in our ‘best in class’ RISC-V processors.”
  - Philippe Luc, Verification Director Codasip

- “With this Imperas collaboration, our **mutual customers will benefit from the availability of SiFive qualified models** that are compatible with the mainstream EDA tool flows.”
  - Phil Dworsky, Director, Strategic Alliances, SiFive
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• Brief Introduction to RISC-V
• RISC-V CPU HW DV approaches
  • #0 “hello world” test
  • #1 self checking tests (e.g. Berkeley torture tests pre2018)
  • #2 Post simulation trace log file compare (e.g. Google riscv-dv 2019)
  • #3 sync-lock-step-compare (e.g. CV32E40P in OpenHW 1H 2020)
  • #4 async-lock-step-compare (e.g. CV32E40P in OpenHW 2H H2020)
  • #5 test bench use of standards (RVVI) (e.g. CV32E40X/S in OpenHW 2021)
  • #6 using standards based DV products and VIP
    • Drill down into an available commercial RISC-V HW DV verification solution
    • Demonstration of ImperasDV in action on open source RISC-V cores

• Components of RISC-V CPU DV environment
A dedicated RISC-V CPU DV solution: ImperasDV from Imperas
CPU DV test bench components

- RISC-V Core RTL (DUT)
- Functional coverage measurement
- Tracer in e.g. RVVI-VLG (or proprietary)
- Tracer->TB
- DUT<->TB CONTROL
- Test bench / harness control, sequencing, compare SystemVerilog
- Directed Tests
- Instruction Stream Generator
- Tests
- DPI
- RVVI-API
- RISC-V reference Model
- Test bench virtual peripherals
- Mem
- bus/mem i/f
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• Focus on:
  • DUT + ‘tracer’
  • Test bench
  • DV subsystem
ImperasDV

- Encapsulates the reference model
  - Select model, use variant, configure,
- Includes DUT reference state storage
- Includes synchronization technology
  - Can run sync, async, interrupts, debug, multi-hart
- Includes comparison technology
- Includes Imperas instruction coverage
- Is configurable and traceable
- Can be used in C/C++ or SystemVerilog test bench / harness
  - Uses RVVI-API
- Very simple to use – the ‘smarts’ are built-in
Test Bench / Harness

- Instances and connects all the subsystems
- Controls the stepping of events and instructions
- Connects the data & signals between the DUT and reference subsystem synchronizers and comparators

- Can be in SystemVerilog for DUT in RTL
- Can be in C/C++ for DUT in C based compiled simulators

- Imperas provides templates in C/C++ and SystemVerilog
  - Expect users to extend and customize
ImperasDV setup

- Reference model setup
- Configuration of register and memory initialization
- Selection of what to compare (depends on DUT ‘tracer’ capabilities):
  - PC, GPR, CSR, FPR, VR, decode, net, hart...
- Select capabilities:
  - sync-lock-step-compare or async-lock-step-compare
- Trace and logging set up
- Selection of built-in Imperas instruction coverage
- Choice of DV control options
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• Drill down into an available commercial RISC-V HW DV verification solution
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Demo: ImperasDV
Core: lowRISC Ibex
Simulator: SystemVerilog
DV mode: sync-lock-step-compare

- Overview block diagram from RVVI github
- Walk through C/C++ rvvi.h and in doxygen – introduce APIs: RVVI-VLG
- Walk through tracer code where it converts RVVI-VLG nets to -> RVVI-API
- Walk through SystemVerilog harness
  - Show init, config, main step loop
- Run example - passes
- Run example - fails, show trace, show in eGuiMPD and waveforms
- Show arch test suites
- Show instruction coverage
Demonstration / Walkthrough of ImperasDV
Commercial RISC-V CPU DV solution
Lee Moore
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- Drill down into an available commercial RISC-V HW DV verification solution
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    - SystemVerilog test bench
- Components of RISC-V CPU DV environment
The diagram shows a Verilog Device Under Test (DUT) and its corresponding Test Bench. The DUT is connected to the Test Bench through various methods such as `dutInit()`, `dutEventStep()`, etc. The Test Bench contains a `Main Loop` and a `Compare` module, responsible for validating the DUT's responses. The `C Reference Module` is also shown, containing functionalities like `DUT PC`, `DUT CR1`, `DUT CR2`, etc. The diagram is designed to demonstrate how the DUT interacts with the Test Bench and how the comparison is performed to verify the DUT's correctness.

© Imperas Software Ltd.
README.md

Verilog Device Under Test

Verilog Test Bench

C Reference Module

The test harness shown in the center is in charge of coordinating the sequence of events during testing. The DUT shown on the left will
RVVI (RISC-V Verification Interface)

bool_t rvviRefinsBinCompare (uint32_t hartId)
Compare retired instruction bytes between reference and DUT. More...

bool_t rvviRefPeCompare (uint32_t hartId)
Compare program counter for the retired instructions between DUT and the reference model. More...

bool_t rvviRefCsrCompare (uint32_t hartId, uint32_t csrIndex)
Compare a CSR value between DUT and the reference model. More...

bool_t rvviRefCsrSCompare (uint32_t hartId)
Compare all CSR values between DUT and the reference model. More...

bool_t rvviRefVrsCompare (uint32_t hartId)
Compare all RVV vector register values between reference and DUT. More...

bool_t rvviRefFprsCompare (uint32_t hartId)
Compare all floating point register values between reference and DUT. More...

uint64_t rvviRefGprGet (uint32_t hartId, uint32_t index)
Read a GPR value from a hart in the reference model. More...

uint32_t rvviRefGrstWrittenGet (uint32_t hartId)
Read a GPR written mask from the last rvviRefEventStep. More...

uint64_t rvviRefPcGet (uint32_t hartId)
Return the program counter of a hart in the reference model. More...

uint64_t rvviRefCsrGet (uint32_t hartId, uint32_t index)
Read a CSR value from a hart in the reference model. More...

uint64_t rvviRefinsBinGet (uint32_t hartId)
Return the binary representation of the previously retired instruction. More...

uint64_t rvviRefFprGet (uint32_t hartId, uint32_t index)
Read a floating point register value from a hart in the reference model. More...

void rvviRefFvrGet (uint32_t hartId, uint32_t index, void *data, uint32_t size)
Read a RVV vector register value from a hart in the reference model. More...

void rvviDutBusWrite (uint32_t hartId, uint64_t address, uint64_t value, uint32_t byteEnableMask)
Notify RVVI that the DUT has been written to memory. More...

void rvviRefMemoryWrite (uint32_t hartId, uint64_t address, uint64_t data, uint32_t size)
if (finish) begin
  $display("due to fatal errors") and $display("fatal errors and non-fatal errors and non warnings.
  end
else begin
  $display("with $display(0) and non warnings.$display(0) and $display(0) and $display(0) and $display(0)
end

// Testbench control:
// This task implements the step-and-compare loop
task TickCtrl();

// Initialize RF (do this before initializing the RF)
if (rvv_init_test_checker(rhv_api_version_check)) begin
  $display("Warning: expecting RV API version $display(0)",
  end
if (rvv_init_test_checker(rhv_api_version_check)) begin
  $display("Warning: rvv_api_version_check$display(0)",
end

// Initialize RF
rvv_init();
rvv_init();
rvv_init();
rvv_init();
rvv_init();
rvv_init();
rvv_init();

// Start step-and-compare loop
dut begin:

  dutStop // returns on instruction retirement
  i = i + 1;
  if (i > 300) begin
    inst = rvvRefInstInsn(0);
  if (inst == ECALL) begin
    $display("Inst @ $display(0) = " & #inst & 
    $display("Inst @ $display(0) = " & #inst & 
    if (PCINS or ECALL) begin
      break;
    end
  end
end // Loop
dutEnd();

// Watchdog timer
always @(posedge th_clk) begin
  $display("Warning: timeout!
  end
if (th_cycles == TIMEOUT CYCLES) begin
  $display("Warning: timeout!
  end
if (err_cnt == MAX ERRS) begin
  $display("Warning: too many errors!
  end
  $display("Warning: too many errors!
end
  $display("Warning: too many errors!
end // watchdog
Demo: ImperasDV
Core: lowRISC Ibex
Simulator: SystemVerilog
DV mode: sync-lock-step-compare

- Overview block diagram from RVVI github
- Walk through C/C++ rvvi.h and in doxygen – introduce APIs: RVVI-VLG
- Walk through tracer code where it converts RVVI-VLG nets to -> RVVI-API
- Walk through SystemVerilog harness
  - Show init, config, main step loop
- Run example - passes
- Run example - fails, show trace, show in eGuiMPD and waveforms
- Show arch test suites
- Show instruction coverage
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- Components of RISC-V CPU DV environment
  - Compliance Tests and other Test Suites
  - Instruction Stream Generators
  - Functional Coverage
  - Instruction Set Simulators
• RISC-V International has been working on compliance testing since 2018

• Status (Feb 2022):
  • Test suites for basic un-priv older ratified extensions I, M, C, etc
  • Simple framework for running DUT and provides signatures for comparison
  • Working on new framework to run in a post simulation signature compare mode
    • Encapsulates sail model, uses yaml configuration, does not provide build in reference signatures
  • Process is self-certification
    • You run the tests on your DUT and declare it is RISC-V compliant
The Architectural Compatibility Test SIG is an umbrella group that will provide guidance, strategy and oversight for the development of tests used to help find incompatibilities with the RISC-V Architecture as a step in the Architectural Compatibility self-certification process.

The group will:

- Guide Development of:
  - Architectural tests for RISC-V implementations covering ratified and in-flight specifications for architectural versions, standard extensions, and implementation options.
  - Tools and infrastructure to help identify architectural incompatibilities in implementations.
  - Work with LSM and Chairs for resources to get the above work done.
  - Mentor or arrange for mentoring for the resources to get the above work done.
RISC-V International Compliance Special Interest Group (2) (aka compliance working group)

RISC-V attendance

Only RISC-V Members May Attend

- Non-members are asked to please leave.
- Members share IP protection by virtue of their common membership agreement. Non-members being present jeopardizes that protection.
- It is easy to become a member. Check out riscv.org/membership.
- If you need work done between non-members or other orgs and RISC-V, please use a joint working group (JWG).
  - Used to allow non-members in SIGs but the SIGs purpose has changed.
- Please put your name and company (in parentheses after your name) as your zoom name. If you are an individual member just use the word “individual” instead of company name.
- Non-member guests may present to the group but should only stay for the presentation. Guests should leave for any follow on discussions.
RISC-V International Compliance Special Interest Group (3) – Mailing List (aka compliance working group)
RISC-V International Compliance Special Interest Group (4) - GitHub (aka compliance working group)
RISC-V International Compliance Special Interest Group (5) – GitHub – test suites (aka compliance working group)
RISC-V International Compliance Special Interest Group (6) – GitHub – test suites (aka compliance working group)
Imperas Test Suites

• When verifying a CPU design - you can never have enough tests...
• Imperas have developed a directed RISC-V test generator, instruction coverage measuring VIP, and a test qualifying mutating fault simulator to provide high quality test suites
• The generated tests suites are targeting architectural compatibility as defined in the RVIA architectural test working group coverage requirements
• There are currently over 50 free test suites, including
  • I,M,C,F,D,B,K,V,P
    • The provided vector test suite is one specific vector engine configuration
• The test suites are provided under an OVP open source license and are available free from: https://github.com/riscv-ovpsim/imperas-riscv-tests
Imperas RISC-V riscOVPSim reference simulator and architectural validation tests

riscOVPSim is released by Imperas based on their 12+ years of developing commercial industrial grade reference simulators for advanced processor architectures. It is a free closed source simulator binary that works with no compiling, no fiddling, and no external dependencies. It just works.

In the RISC-V world, Imperas simulators are used by most companies and organizations that are serious about getting quality RTL working and signed off. They use it as an architectural reference and many use it as the golden simulator to verify their RTL in a hardware design verification methodology. Imperas simulator technology and verification IP is the technology to use to obtain high quality results and to verify RTL works as expected.

The following is a list of some of the companies and organizations that rely on Imperas simulators as their RISC-V reference:

- Nuvia, Inc.
- Xilinx, Inc.
- Synopsys, Inc.
- Mentor Graphics
- Cadence Design Systems
- Cadence Design Systems, Inc.
- Altium, Inc.
- Mentor, Inc.
- Mentor Graphics Corporation
- Mentor, Inc.
- Mentor Graphics

This download contains a binary of the imperas configurable reference simulator, a test framework to run the simulator on your device-under-test, and tests to run on several targets. Use the tests to check ISA compliance of your
Closing the RISC-V Compliance Gap: Looking from the Negative Testing Side*

Vladimir Herdt1  Daniel Große1,2  Rolf Drechsler1,2
1Cyber-Physical Systems, DFKI GmbH, 28359 Bremen, Germany
2Institute of Computer Science, University of Bremen, 28359 Bremen, Germany
{vherdt,grosse,drechsle}@informatik.uni-bremen.de

Abstract—Compliance testing for RISC-V is very important. Therefore, an official hand-written compliance test-suite is being actively developed. However, besides requiring significant manual effort, it focuses on positive testing (the implemented instructions work as expected) only and neglects negative testing (consider illegal instructions to also ensure that no additional/unexpected behavior is accidentally added). This leaves a large gap in compliance testing.

In this paper we propose a fuzzing-based test-suite generation approach to close this gap. We found new bugs in several RISC-V simulators including riscvOVPsim from Imperas which is the official reference simulator for compliance testing.

I. INTRODUCTION

An Instruction Set Architecture (ISA) defines the interface between the Hardware (HW) of a processor and the Software (SW). While, in a conventional, the format of a SW binary running on

that represents the output of the test result and is dumped at the end of the test execution. For compliance testing, these signatures are compared against golden reference signatures (obtained by running the test-suite on a reference simulator). A separate sub test-suite is developed for the RISC-V base ISA as well as for each standard ISA extension. Besides the significant manual effort for the maintenance, the compliance test-suite focuses on positive testing only, i.e. to show that the implemented instructions work as expected. However, it neglects negative testing, i.e. to consider illegal instructions to also ensure that no additional/unexpected behavior is accidentally added. This leaves a large gap in compliance testing.

Contribution: In this paper we propose a fuzzing-based test-suite generation approach to close this gap. We leverage state-of-the-art fuzzing techniques (based on LLVM libFuzzer) to iteratively generate test-cases which are executed on a RISC-V simulator and
15035 lines (15333 sloc)  602 KB

Imperas RISC-V Instruction Coverage Report

Extension: Rv3i, instructions: 120

vadd.vim

sign
neg 72/1 : 100.00%
pos 231/1 : 100.00%
sign 2/2 : 100.00%

vde

vpr

v8 0/1 : 0.00% ZERO
v9 3/1 : 100.00%
v10 0/1 : 100.00%
v11 3/1 : 100.00%
v12 2/1 : 100.00%
v13 3/1 : 100.00%
v14 0/1 : 100.00%
v15 3/1 : 100.00%
v16 0/1 : 100.00%
v17 3/1 : 100.00%
v18 0/1 : 100.00%
v19 3/1 : 100.00%
v20 0/1 : 100.00%
v21 3/1 : 100.00%
v22 0/1 : 100.00%
v23 3/1 : 100.00%
v24 0/1 : 100.00%
v25 3/1 : 100.00%
v26 0/1 : 100.00%
v27 3/1 : 100.00%
v28 0/1 : 100.00%
v29 3/1 : 100.00%
v30 0/1 : 100.00%
v31 3/1 : 100.00%
v32 0/1 : 100.00%
v33 3/1 : 100.00%
v34 0/1 : 100.00%
v35 3/1 : 100.00%
v36 0/1 : 100.00%
v37 3/1 : 100.00%
v38 0/1 : 100.00%
v39 3/1 : 100.00%
v40 0/1 : 100.00%
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• Brief Introduction to RISC-V
• RISC-V CPU HW DV approaches
• Other components of RISC-V CPU DV environments
  • Compliance Tests and other Test Suites
  • Instruction Stream Generators
    • Google Cloud riscv-dv (SystemVerilog open source)
    • OpenHW Group force-riscv (C++ open source)
    • Valtrix STING (commercial)
• Functional Coverage
• Instruction Set Simulators
CPU DV test bench components

- **RISC-V Core RTL (DUT)**
  - Tracer in e.g. RVVI-VLG (or proprietary)
  - DUT<>TB CONTROL
- **Functional coverage measurement**
- **Instruction Stream Generator**
- **Directed Tests**

**Test bench / harness control, sequencing, compare SystemVerilog**

- **DUT<>TB**
- **Tracer->TB**
- **RVVI-VPI Test bench virtual peripherals**
- **Mem**
- **bus/mem i/f**

**Test bench virtual peripherals**

**Mem**

**DUT**

**DPI**

**RVVI-API**

**DV**

**RISC-V reference Model**

**Imperas Software Ltd.**

© Imperas Software Ltd. 2022
Constrained Random Instruction Stream Test Generators (ISG)
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Key Features

01 Randomness
Randomize everything: instruction, ordering, program structure, privileged mode setting, exceptions.

02 Architecture Aware
The generated program should be able to hit the corner cases of the processor architectural features.

03 Performance
The instruction generator should be scalable to generate a large program in a short period of time.

04 Extendability
Easy to add new instruction sequences, custom instruction extension, custom CSR etc.

• From Google Cloud presentation 2019 RISC-V Summit
Randomness

Instruction level randomization
Cover all possible operands and immediate values of each instruction
Example: Arithmetic overflow, divide by zero, long branch, exceptions etc.

Sequence level randomization
Maximize the possibility of instruction orders and dependencies

Program level randomization
Random privileged mode setting, page table organization, program calls
Google RISC-V Instruction Stream Generation

- High quality SystemVerilog UVM DV infrastructure
- Open source
- Drives a RISC-V core through corner cases and pushes it to the limit

https://github.com/google/riscv-dv

- Imperas worked on this with Google Cloud & Metrics through 2019-2020
- Uses a post-sim trace-compare methodology
- Uses Imperas riscvOVPsim as the reference
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OpenHW Group force-riscv

- Developed initially by Futurewei
- Open source C++
- [https://github.com/openhwgroup/force-riscv](https://github.com/openhwgroup/force-riscv)
- Initial focus on RV64, recently working on RV32
- Not yet key part of OpenHW flows for core-v cores
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STING - A Versatile Design Verification Platform

STING, the flagship product of Valtix Systems, is a bare metal software specially designed to serve as a platform for the design verification of IP/SOC implementations. The software stack consists of test generators, checkers, device drivers and a light-weight kernel which can be configured into a portable program as per the needs of the verification environment. The program can seamlessly boot on simulation, FPGA prototypes, emulation or silicon and execute the constrained random, directed or coverage based tests that the user programs or requests for.

The highly portable stimulus is controlled by a rich file based test specification scheme. High level of controllability is provided to the user for every test parameter so that every test condition can be mapped to a particular test configuration.

STING is developed with a vision to solve problems commonly seen in design verification and system validation. It embodies the best methodologies and practices in the industry whilst providing innovative solutions for the unique challenges in specific ecosystem. Designed for scalability and extensibility, companies can make full use of it across the spectrum of embedded, client and server SoCs.

**IMPORTANT FEATURES**

- **Stable and deterministic kernel** with a tiny memory and instruction footprint ideal for simulation environments.
- Run the exactly same portable stimulus on simulation, FPGA prototype, emulation or silicon without any change.
- Generates extremely tight sequences of code for faster closure on coverage.
- Configuration file based input to control kernel setup, test generation and execution.
- Clock, power, memory and interrupt management support provided by kernel to the test generators and device drivers.
- **Extremely fast test generation and execution** to cover a large amount of verification space in a small amount of time.
- **Extensive hardware support** including ARMv8, RISC-V and USB. Check the section below for details.
- **Interspersed directed and random testing** for better coverage under different levels of stress.
- **Special kernel and library APIs** for design verification available to test developers to write stimulus generators.
- **Support for standard verification algorithms** is available with the library of test stimulus.
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Imperas Instruction Coverage

• Built-in as ‘extension library’ to Imperas models
• Works with all Imperas simulators and RISC-V models
• Focus is for measuring ‘architectural validation tests’
  • i.e. measurement of basic architectural operations
  • Not intended for measuring HW DV testing (use SystemVerilog for that)
• Controlled from command line – tailor options for each run
  • Outputs .txt file, and .yaml file of data
• After individual runs, collates data into ‘suite measured coverage’
• Shows what has, and has not, been covered
• Selectable coverage focus
  • --extensions, --instructions
  • --mnemonic, --basic, --extended
ImperasDV Instruction Coverage

- Reference model setup
- Configuration of register and memory initialization
- Selection of what to compare (depends on DUT ‘tracer’ capabilities):
  - PC, GPR, CSR, FPR, VR, decode, net, hart...
- Select capabilities:
  - sync-lock-step Compare or async-lock-step Compare
- Trace and logging set up
- Selection of built-in Imperas instruction coverage
- Choice of DV control options
ImperasDV Instruction Coverage

- Reference model setup
- Configuration of register and memory initialization
- Selection of what to compare (depends on DUT ‘tracer’ capabilities):
  - PC, GPR, CSR, FPR, VR, decode, net, hart...
- Select capabilities:
  - sync-lock-step-compare or async-lock-step-compare
- Trace and logging set up
- Selection of built-in Imperas instruction coverage
- Choice of DV control options

ImperasDV includes built-in Imperas Instruction Coverage
Imperas RISC-V riscOVPsim reference simulator and architectural validation tests

riscOVPsim is released by imperas based on their 12+ years of developing commercial industrial grade, reference simulators for advanced processor architectures. It is a free closed source simulator binary that works with no compiling, no fiddling, and no external dependencies, it just works.

In the RISC-V world, Simulators are used by most companies and organizations that are serious about getting quality RTL working and signed off. They use it as an architectural reference and many use it as the golden simulator to verify their RTL in a hardware design verification methodology. Imperas simulator technology and verification IP is the technology to use to obtain high quality results and to verify RTL works as expected.

The following is a list of some of the companies and organizations that rely on Imperas simulators as their RISC-V reference:


This download contains a binary of the Imperas configurable reference simulator, a test framework to run the simulator or your device-under-test, and tests on several targets. Use the tests to check ISA compliance of your device.

This Imperas test framework has formed the basis of the RISC-V International (risc.org) Compliance Working Group’s RISC-V Instruction Set Analyzer (ISA) protocol.
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Recall there needs to be functional coverage connected to the ‘tracer’

To measure what the architecture and micro-architecture is doing...
• And we saw earlier in the detailed ImperasDV walkthrough the connections from the ‘tracer’ via the RVVI
  • From the standard RVVI-VLG there are reusable source ‘clients’ that connect the ‘tracer’ to other components
• We can connect a functional coverage subsystem in the same way
Connecting RVVI to Covergroups

- VLG2COV connects to ‘tracer’ and is informed when events like an instruction retires or interrupt is taken.
- On these events VLG2COV calls ‘sample’ in coverage class.
- Which then calls the appropriate covergroup sample functions.

```c
# Covergroups

covergroup ins_cg with function sample(string ins_str);

option_per_instance = 1;

cp_asm : coverpoint get_asm_enum(ins_str);

endgroup

function sample_new();

ins_cg = new();

endfunction

function void sample(input string decode);

string ins_str, op[4], key, val;

int num = $sscanf (decode, "%s %s %s %s %s %s", ins_str, op[0], op[1], op[2], op[3]);

ins_cg.sample(ins_str);

endfunction

endclass

covergroup sub_cg with function sample(ins_t ins);

option_per_instance = 1;

cg_rd : coverpoint get_pgr_name(ins.ops[0].val, ins.ops[0].key, "sub");

cg_rsl : coverpoint get_pgr_name(ins.ops[1].val, ins.ops[1].key, "sub");

cg_rd : coverpoint get_pgr_name(ins.ops[2].val, ins.ops[2].key, "sub");

endgroup

covergroup sw_cg with function sample(ins_t ins);

option_per_instance = 1;

cg_rd : coverpoint get_pgr_name(ins.ops[0].val, ins.ops[0].key, "sw");

cg_rsl : coverpoint get_pgr_name(ins.ops[1].val, ins.ops[1].key, "sw");

cg_imm : coverpoint get_pgr_name(ins.ops[2].val, ins.ops[2].key, "sw");

bins neg = [[1:1]];

bins zero = [0];

bins pos = [[1:1]];}

endgroup

covergroup wfi_cg with function sample(ins_t ins);

option_per_instance = 1;

cg_asm : coverpoint ins_asm == WFI {

&&more_bins zero = [0];

}

endgroup

covergroup xor_cg with function sample(ins_t ins);

option_per_instance = 1;

cg_rd : coverpoint get_pgr_name(ins.ops[0].val, ins.ops[0].key, "xor");

cg_rsl : coverpoint get_pgr_name(ins.ops[1].val, ins.ops[1].key, "xor");

cg_rd : coverpoint get_pgr_name(ins.ops[2].val, ins.ops[2].key, "xor");

endgroup
```
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RVVI Functional Coverage

• So the use of a standard interface from DUT ‘tracer’ to testbench means reusable standard components can be developed
  • A key one is a SystemVerilog Functional Coverage sub system

• And for RISC-V standard extensions they can be provided as SystemVerilog source
  • And then extended for DV specifics of the specific micro-architecture
    • Such as pipeline issues, hazards, assertions – design specific items

• Imperas has an example available (Feb2022) and will shortly release others
  • (contact Imperas for more information)
SystemVerilog simulators provide Coverage reports

- Coverage reports from Siemens/Mentor Questa
Metrics: includes top level overview dashboard

- Allows management overview of status of verification
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Imperas RISC-V riscvOVPsim reference simulator and architectural validation tests

riscvOVPsim is released by Imperas based on their 12+ years of developing commercial industrial grade, reference simulators for advanced processor architectures. It is a free closed source simulator binary that works with no compiling, no fiddling, and no external dependencies, it just works.

In the RISC-V world, Imperas simulators are used by most companies and organizations that are serious about getting quality RTL working and signed off. They use it as an architectural reference and many use it as the golden simulator to verify their RTL in a hardware design verification methodology. Imperas simulator technology and verification IP is the technology to use to obtain high quality results and to verify RTL works as expected.

The following is a list of some of the companies and organizations that rely on Imperas simulators as their RISC-V reference:

- We use optional third-party analytics cookies to understand how you use GitHub.com so we can build better products. Learn more.

Cyber, Inivia, ...
Imperas Tools for Embedded Software Development, Debug & Test

Application Software & Operating System

Virtual Platform
- Peripheral
- Memory
- OVP CPU
- OVP CPU

JIT simulator engine

SlipStreamer API

Software Verification, Analysis & Profiling (VAP) tools
- Trace
- Profile
- Coverage
- Schedule
- Memory monitor
- Protocol checker
- Assertion checkers
- ...

Multiprocessor / Multicore Debugger

Eclipse IDE
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File Edit View Search Terminal Help

Terminal

different shell
cd /simond/force-riscv/force-riscv/; clear; ls -ltr; ls -ltr ./utilis/regression/master_run.py
g ./utils/regression/master_run.py --keepall
tail -50 output/regression/regression_summary.log ; echo "" ; echo ""
ls -ltr output/regression/*/**/*.elf
cd /simond/force-riscv; clear; ./CLEAN.sh; source setup.sh; ls -ltr; cat ./GEN.sh
./GEN.sh; ls -ltr
.
.
a different shell
cd /home/simon/iscv/walltrix; isetup; clear; ls -ltr tests/*.elf
make
clear; isetup; cd /scratch/simon/iscv; ls -ltr

$ with
bash /scratch/simon/iscv/Andes_N25_FreeRTOS; ls -ltr; firefox Andes N25 NX25 FreeRTOS.jpg &

bash /scratch/simon/iscv/SifiveFUS40/Linux; ls -ltr; firefox Linux_SifiveFUS40.jpg &
RUN SifiveFUS40.sh # root sifive
bash /scratch/simon/iscv/Hetero ARM RISC-V NeuralNetwork; ls -ltr; firefox 0 NeuralNetwork Platform.jpg 1 NeuralNetwork Alexnet.jpg &
bash /scratch/simon/iscv/Hetero ARM RISC-V NeuralNetwork/harness; /RUN_Hetero_ARM_RISC-V_NeuralNetwork_Alexnet.sh

demoreadme.txt 66L, 2975C written

63,3 95%
ISS: Summary

- Only mentioned Imperas simulators (as we use daily, our customers rely on them, and we understand their quality)
  - There are are others...
    - There are many open source grad. student project simulators... - go search github...
    - RISC-V has a formal model under development – ‘sail’
    - There is also spike from Berkeley – for architectural exploration
    - And there are full system software emulators like qemu

- Free: github: riscOVPsim.exe
  - Model selection configuration
  - Signature, logs, coverage
  - Includes rv32I tests
  - Useful for compliance tests

- Free: ovpworld.org (needs registration): riscOVPsimPlus.exe
  - As riscOVPsimPlus
  - trace, debug
  - Useful for post-sim-trace-compare, e.g. in Google risc-dv

- Commercial from Imperas – the industry leader in processor based simulation solutions
  - Full range of ISS, virtual platforms, full system emulation, fixed & extendable platforms
  - 50 reference platforms, 250+ peripheral components, 300+ processor models
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Congratulations... on getting to the end of this tutorial

if you got this far – and still have some energy...

send us an email (info@imperas.com) with

- Subject: imperasdv at dvcon22 tutorial
- With: comments on this tutorial
- and we will send the first 50 of you one of our ImperasDV drinking mugs
We covered...

• Brief Introduction to RISC-V
• RISC-V CPU HW DV approaches
• Components of RISC-V CPU DV environment
We covered (2)

- Brief Introduction to RISC-V
- RISC-V CPU HW DV approaches
  - #0 “hello world” test
  - #1 self checking tests (e.g. Berkeley torture tests pre2018)
  - #2 Post simulation trace log file compare (e.g. Google riscv-dv 2019)
  - #3 sync-lock-step-compare (e.g. CV32E40P in OpenHW 1H 2020)
  - #4 async-lock-step-compare (e.g. CV32E40P in OpenHW 2H H2020)
  - #5 test bench use of standards (RVVI) (e.g. CV32E40X/S in OpenHW 2021)
  - #6 using standards based DV products and VIP (ImperasDV)
    - And had a 35 minute walk through
We covered (3)

- Components of RISC-V CPU DV environment
  - Compliance Tests and other Test Suites
    - RISC-V architectural test suites
    - Imperas architectural test suites
    - Bremen fuzz testing
  - Instruction Stream Generators
    - Google riscv-v
    - OpenHW force-riscv
    - Valtrix STING
  - Functional Coverage
    - Imperas build-in instruction coverage
    - SystemVerilog covergroups and coverpoints
  - Instruction Set Simulators & tools
    - Free riscvOVPsim (github)
    - Free riscvOVPsimPlus (ovpworld.org)
    - Commercial M*SIM (imperas.com)
    - Commercial M*SDK (imperas.com)
    - Commercial ImperasDV (imperas.com)
What we did not talk about…

• SystemVerilog encapsulation of Imperas models (yes we do that)
• Using ISS with RTL emulators
  • Including hybrid simulation (yes we do that)
• Formal tools
  • (no we don’t do these...)
Summary

- The open standard ISA of RISC-V offers many design freedoms
  - Many standard extensions and configuration options plus custom instructions
- The key verification requirements are to detect discrepancies with efficient debug
- The open standard RVVI offers a framework for verification reuse with support for both open-source and commercial tools
  - RISC-V Verification Interface
  - [https://github.com/riscv-verification/RVVI](https://github.com/riscv-verification/RVVI)
- Lockstep / Compare is by far the best and most efficient approach (industry ‘gold standard’)
  - [https://www.imperas.com/imperasdv](https://www.imperas.com/imperasdv)
Thank You!

info@imperas.com
www.imperas.com
www.imperas.com/ImperasDV
www.OVPworld.org
Questions?