2024 DESIGN AND VERIFICATION™ DVCON CONFERENCE AND EXHIBITION

UNITED STATES

SAN JOSE, CA, USA
MARCH 4-7, 2024

# Four Problems with Policy-Based Constraints and How to Fix Them

Dillan Mills
Synopsys, Inc.

Chip Haldane
The Chip Abides, LLC

accellera SYSTEMS INITIATIVE

# Constraints and Policy Class Review

# Constraints Review

- Random objects and constraints are the foundational building blocks of constrained random verification
- Embedded fixed constraints are simple but lack flexibility
- In-line constraints are marginally more flexible but their definitions are still fixed within the calling context
- In-line constraints must all be specified within a single call to `randomize()`

# Policy Class Review

- Policy classes are a technique for applying constraints in a portable, reusable, and incremental manner
- Leverage an aspect of ”global constraints”, simultaneously solving constraints across a set of random objects
- Randomizing a class that contains policies also randomizes the policies
- The policies contain a reference back to the container
- Consequently, the policy container is constrained by the policies it contains

# Policy Class Example: policy_base

```
class policy_base#(type ITEM=uvm_object);
    ITEM item;

    virtual function void set_item(ITEM item);
        this.item = item;
    endfunction
endclass
```

# Policy Class Example: policy_list

```
class policy_list#(type ITEM=uvm_object) extends policy_base#(ITEM);
    rand policy_base#(ITEM) policy[$];

    function void add(policy_base#(ITEM) pcy);
        policy.push_back(pcy);
    endfunction

    function void set_item(ITEM item);
        foreach(policy[i]) policy[i].set_item(item);
    endfunction
endclass
```

# policy_base and policy_list Summary

- These two base classes provide the core definitions for policies
- `policy_base` implements the hook back to the policy container
- `policy_list` organizes related policies into groups
- Parameterization requires a unique specialization per policy-enabled container

# Policy Class Example: Implementation

```
class addr_txn;
  rand addr_t addr;
  rand policy_base#(addr_txn) policy[$];

  function void pre_randomize;
    foreach(policy[i])
      policy[i].set_item(this);
  endfunction
endclass
```

```
class addr_policy extends policy_base#(addr_txn);
  rand addr_t addrs[$];

  function void add(addr_t addr);
    addrs.push_back(addr);
  endfunction

  constraint c_addr {
    item.addr inside {addrs};
  }
endclass
```

- `addr_txn.addr` is constrained to a value added through `addr_policy`

# Policy Class Example: Usage

```
class addr_constrained_txn extends addr_txn;
  function new;
    addr_policy addr_policy = new;
    policy_list#(addr_txn) pcy = new;
    addr_policy.add('h00000000);
    addr_policy.add('h10000000);
    pcy.add(addr_policy);
    this.policy = {pcy};
  endfunction
endclass
```

- Instantiate and randomize like normal with a call to `txn.randomize()`
- Each value added to the policy list will constrain `addr`

# Problem #1: Parameterized Policies

# Problem #1: Parameterized Policies

- `policy_base` is parameterized to the class it constrains
- Different specializations cannot be grouped and indexed
- An extended class hierarchy with constrainable values in each level requires a unique policy type and policy list for each level
- Each list must be separately traversed and mapped back to the container during `pre_randomize`
- Users have to keep track of the different lists and which signals belong to each

# Parameterized Policies: Example

```
class addr_p_txn extends addr_txn;
  rand bit parity;
  rand policy_base#(addr_p_txn)
↪   p_policy[$];

  function void pre_randomize;
    foreach(p_policy[i])
      p_policy[i].set_item(this);
  endfunction
endclass
```

```
class addr_c_txn extends addr_p_txn;
  function new;
    policy_list#(addr_txn) pcy = new;
    policy_list#(addr_p_txn) p_pcy = new;
    pcy.add(/*addr policies*/);
    p_pcy.add(/*parity policies*/);
    this.policy = {pcy};
    this.p_policy = {p_pcy};
  endfunction
endclass
```

- This method will not scale—each additional subclass requires a new policy type and list

# Parameterized Policies: Solution

- Replace the parameterized policy base with a non-parameterized base
- Move parameters to an extension class that implements the interface

```
interface class policy;
  pure virtual function void set_item(uvm_object item);
endclass

virtual class policy_imp#(type ITEM=uvm_object) implements policy;
  protected rand ITEM m_item;

  virtual function void set_item(uvm_object item);
    if (!$cast(m_item, item)) /* cleanup */;
  endfunction
endclass

typedef policy policy_queue[$];
```

# Policy Interface and Implementation Classes

- Non-parameterized base enables all policies targeting a particular class hierarchy to be stored in a single common `policy_queue`
- Parameterized `policy_imp` implements the base and provides core functionality required by all policies
- No strong typing means all implementing classes must share a common base class— `uvm_object` is a safe choice for UVM testbenches
- `set_item()` must handle the cases where an invalid type is passed in

# Policy Definition Updates

- Policy definitions are mostly still the same as original classes
- Policy classes should be updated to extend the new `policy_imp` class

```
class addr_policy extends policy_imp#(addr_txn);
```

- Constraints should be written as implications in case `item` is missing

```
constraint c_addr {m_item != null -> m_item.addr inside {addrs};}
```

# Policy Implementation and Usage Updates

- The base txn class needs to inherit from `uvm_object` to be type-compatible
- The `policies` list in the base txn is replaced with a `rand policy_queue policies` declaration
- Subclasses of the base txn class no longer need their own policy lists or `pre_randomize()` functions
- The constrained txn can push all policies into the shared `policy_queue` in the base txn class

# Policy Usage Example

```
class addr_txn extends uvm_object;
  rand policy_queue policies;
// ...
class addr_c_txn extends addr_p_txn;
  function new;
    // ...
    this.policies.push_back(/*addr_txn policies*/);
    this.policies.push_back(/*addr_p_txn policies*/);
  endfunction
endclass
```

# Problem #2: Definition Location

# Problem #2: Definition Location

- “Where should I define my policy classes?”
- Easy enough to stick them in a file close to the class they are constraining
- Better solution: directly embed policy definitions in the class they constrain
  - Eliminates all guesswork about where to define and discover policies
  - Embedded policies gain access to all members of their container class (including protected properties and methods)

# Embedded Policy Example

```
class addr_txn extends uvm_object;
  class POLICIES;
    /* policy definitions */
  endclass
endclass

class addr_p_txn extends addr_txn;
  class POLICIES extends addr_txn::POLICIES;
    /* additional policy definitions */
  endclass
endclass
```

```
class addr_c_txn extends addr_p_txn;
  function new;
    addr_c_txn::POLICIES::addr_policy a_pcy = new(/*...*/);
    this.policies.push_back(a_pcy);
  endfunction
endclass
```

- Wrap the policies in a `POLICIES` class to optimize organization
- Subclass `POLICIES` extend from parent `POLICIES`

# Optimize Further

- Mark properties `protected` so they can only be manipulated with policies
- Add static functions to instantiate and initialize policies with a single call

```
class addr_txn extends uvm_object;
  protected rand a_t addr;
  class POLICIES;
    // ... addr_policy definition
    static function addr_policy FIXED_ADDR(a_t a);
      FIXED_ADDR = new(a);
    endfunction
  endclass
endclass

class addr_c_txn extends addr_p_txn;
  function new;
    this.policies.push_back(
      addr_c_txn::POLICIES::FIXED_ADDR('hFF00));
  endfunction
endclass
```

# Problem #3: Boilerplate Overload

# Problem #3: Boilerplate Overload

- Policies require a class definition, a constructor, and a constraint (at a minimum)
- Relatively unavoidable for complex policies
- Generic policy types that show up a lot can be simplified with a macro
- Use macros to set up the embedded `POLICIES` class, the policy definition, and a static constructor

```
class addr_p_txn extends addr_txn;
  `start_extended_policies(addr_p_txn, addr_txn)
    `fixed_policy(PARITY_ERR, parity_err, bit)
  `end_policies
endclass
```

# Policy Macros

- Ideal for properties with simple constraints, such as equality, range, or set membership constraints
- Complex constraints with relationships between multiple properties are harder to turn into a macro
  - They can be left as-is, defined within the `POLICIES` class
  - Define in a separate file and add to the `POLICIES` class with `` `include ``

# Problem #4: Unexpected Policy Reuse Behavior and Optimizing for Lightweight Policies

# Problem #4: Unexpected Policy Reuse Behavior and Optimizing for Lightweight Policies

- Occasional unexpected behavior when re-randomizing objects with policies
- Policies “remember” previous randomizations and wouldn’t reapply constraints
- Sidestep the issue—keep policy classes extremely lightweight and adopt a “use once and discard” approach
- Introduce a `copy()` method that returns a fresh policy instance initialized to the same state as the policy that implements it
- Rely on static constructors to generate initialized policies automatically

# More Improvements to the Policy Package

# More Improvements to the Policy Package

- Lots of nice improvements to the original policy package so far
- Still lacking many features that would be useful in real-world implementations
- Refer to the paper for complete code examples and a more detailed discussion of the following features

# Expanding the `policy` interface class

```
interface class policy;

    pure virtual function string name();
    pure virtual function string type_name();
    pure virtual function string description();
    pure virtual function bit item_is_compatible(uvm_object item);
    pure virtual function void set_item(uvm_object item);
    pure virtual function policy copy();

endclass: policy
```

# Better type safety checking and reporting in `policy_imp` methods

```
virtual function void set_item(uvm_object item);
    if (item == null)
        `uvm_error(/* NULL item passed */)
    else if ((this.item_is_compatible(item)) && $cast(this.m_item, item)) begin
        `uvm_info(/* Policy applied */)
        this.m_item.rand_mode(1);
    end else begin
        `uvm_warning(/* Incompatible type */)
        this.m_item = null;
        this.m_item.rand_mode(0);
    end
endfunction
```

# Replacing `policy_list` with `policy_queue`

- The original policy implementation used a `policy_list` to hold policies
- With the new implementation, all you need is a typedef queue

```
typedef policy policy_queue[$];
```

- A `policy_queue` can hold any policy that implements the `policy` interface
- Default queue methods can be used to aggregate policies
- Array literals can be used where a `policy_queue` is expected
- Define, initialize, aggregate, and pass policies all in a single line of code!

# Standardize policy implementations with the `policy_container` interface and `policy_object` mixin

```
interface class policy_container;
  pure virtual function bit has_policies();

  pure virtual function void set_policies(policy_queue policies);
  pure virtual function void add_policies(policy_queue policies);
  pure virtual function void clear_policies();

  pure virtual function policy_queue get_policies();

  pure virtual function policy_queue copy_policies();
endclass
```

# Using the `policy_container` interface class and `policy_object` mixin

```
class policy_object #(type BASE=uvm_object) extends BASE implements policy_container;
  protected policy_queue m_policies;
  // ... fill out policy_container functions
endclass
```

```
// Use policy_object for transactions
class base_txn extends policy_object #(uvm_sequence_item);

// Use policy_object for sequences
class base_seq #(type REQ=uvm_sequence_item, RSP=REQ) extends policy_object #(
↪  uvm_sequence#(REQ, RSP) );

// Use policy_object for configuration objects
class cfg_object extends policy_object #(uvm_object);
```

# Protecting the policy queue enforces loosely coupled code

- Using `policy_object` along with `policy_container` allows the policy queue to be protected to prevent direct access
- Original implementation required calling `set_item` on each policy during `pre_randomize`
  - Required because `policy_list` was public so callers could add policies without linking to the target class
- Making it private forces callers to set or add policies with the exposed interface methods
- These methods can also check compatibility and call `set_item` immediately
- Removes the reliance on `pre_randomize`

# Conclusion

# Conclusion

- Improvements to the original policy package provide a robust and efficient implementation of policy-based constraints
- The policy package is now capable of managing constraints across an entire class hierarchy
- The policy definitions are tightly paired with the class they constrain
- Macros reduce the expense of defining common policies while still allowing flexibility for custom policies
- A complete implementation is available in the Appendix of the paper and on GitHub* which can be included directly in a project to start using policies immediately

*https://github.com/DillanCMills/policy_pkg

Questions?