# Functional Coverage Closure with Python

Seokho Lee, Youngsik Kim, Suhyung Kim, Jeong Ki Lee, Wooyoung Choe, Minho Kim FuriosaAI, Seoul, Korea <u>seokholee@furiosa.ai</u>, <u>kaengsik@furiosa.ai</u>, <u>soohyk@furiosa.ai</u>, <u>jklee@furiosa.ai</u>,

wooyoung.choe@furiosa.ai, minho@furiosa.ai

*Abstract-* Over the past few years, there has been a growing trend of using Python for design verification instead of traditional hardware verification languages such as SystemVerilog. However, existing Python verification frameworks focus on driving and monitoring signals or solving random constraints, but lack coverage features which make it hard to achieve functional coverage closure. This paper proposes a Python environment for enabling more efficient functional coverage closure. This environment fully utilizes rich features of SystemVerilog functional coverage as well as leverages existing tools for easier coverage analysis.

# I. INTRODUCTION

Python is easy to learn and has extended its reach into the field of hardware verification [1]. Since Python does not have native support for verification features like constrained randomization or functional coverage, open-source libraries are being developed to make these functionalities available. However, there are challenges in a real-world verification project using Python testbenches, especially for functional coverage. Coverage features supported by Python libraries are less powerful than those in SystemVerilog, functional coverage databases are not compatible with code coverage databases from logic simulators, and coverage analysis tools are either absent or only have simple functions compared to those provided by electronic design automation (EDA) vendors.

To overcome the limitations addressed above, this paper presents a new approach to closing functional coverage with Python. Using this approach, verification engineers proficient in Python but not familiar with SystemVerilog can achieve functional coverage closure with much less effort by utilizing user-friendly graphical user interface (GUI) tools provided by EDA vendors for coverage closure.

## II. BACKGROUND & PREVIOUS WORKS

Most Python-based testbenches use Cocotb as their verification framework. Cocotb is an open source coroutine based cosimulation testbench environment that allows you to drive input signals of the design under test (DUT) and monitor output signals of the DUT in a testbench described in Python [2]. However, Cocotb does not provide built-in support for class randomization and functional coverage. To tackle this, open-source libraries like cocotb-coverage and PyVSC are developed and being used [3][4].

Both cocotb-coverage and PyVSC mimic coverage concepts from SystemVerilog such as covergroup, coverpoint, and cross coverage. While these libraries claim they are equipped with coverage features, they cannot fully support all the features SystemVerilog offers. For example, cocotb-coverage does not have array bins and auto bins syntax, and PyVSC does not have transition bins and ignore bins syntax.



Figure 1. Python testbench with functional coverage

Figure 1 represents a typical structure of a Python testbench. Python is in charge of running testbench codes and the logic simulator is only responsible for register transfer language (RTL) simulation of the DUT. Consequently, code and functional coverage collection data are separately generated and stored. The functional coverage database has an extensible markup language (XML) format and cannot be opened directly by coverage analysis tools from EDA vendors. Verification engineers should either maintain two databases for coverage closure which is hard to manage or convert functional coverage databases into compatible formats. Even if the conversion process is successful, utilizing convenient features of the coverage analysis tool, such as source browsing, is not possible.

The lack of coverage features and compatibility of databases addressed above can be a barrier for verification engineers who wish to sign-off coverage closure with Python. Thus, we propose a new Python library that enables functional coverage closure without compromising quality and efficiency.



Figure 2. Python testbench with our proposed functional coverage library

Our proposed Python functional coverage library provides a more straightforward way to define functional coverage in Python, and a freedom to express it in a more detailed way than previous works. This is accomplished through a SystemVerilog layer on the logic simulator side. As you can see in Figure 2, the Python testbench still takes a role in defining and collecting coverage but offloads creating and managing functional coverage databases to the logic simulator. The SystemVerilog layer is automatically generated directly from the Python coverage implementation.

The proposed library is also designed for coverage closure which includes modeling, measuring, analyzing, and reviewing coverage. Figure 3 shows the entire process of coverage closure using the proposed library followed by a detailed description of each process.



Figure 3. Overall functional coverage flow using the proposed library

## A. Define Functional Coverage in Python

Our proposed library supports a hierarchical structure for describing coverpoints within a covergroup, similar to conventional coverage description methods. Each CoverGroup class includes one or more coverpoints and cross coverage of coverpoints. Each CoverPoint class includes bin descriptions. There are two ways to describe bin types: (1) using predefined bin types and (2) using user-defined iterators. Predefined bin types provide classes for bin constructs that are frequently used by verification engineers; some examples can be found in Table 1. Moreover, these predefined bin classes can be combined to make complicated bin expressions possible.

If it is tricky to make coverpoint bins using predefined bin types, this can be achieved by user-defined iterators. Iterator bin types can be as simple as listing through Python default data types (e.g. list, tuple), or as detailed and flexible as iterator types including generators. Figure 4 shows coverage bins for AXI strobe signals in case of narrow transfers. As in the example, Python generators offer efficient ways to replace lines of code that enumerate every single case.

Cross coverage can be defined as simple as passing a list of coverpoints as in Figure 5.

Predefined	Death and Definition		Bin Desc	ription in document         Bin Description         0         FALSE(0), TRUE(1)         STATE_0(0), STATE_1(1), STATE_2(2), STATE_2(2), STATE_3(3)         [0:63]/64         [0:127]/5         0, [1:126]/3, 127         0, [1:1], [2:3], [4:7], [8:15]         [0:1]/2 for bit 0~3         0x1, 0x2, 0x4, 0x8         2=>5, 2=>10, 3=>8
Coverage Type	Python Definition	Generated System verling Coverage	# of Bins	Bin Description
Single	<pre>cp_zero =   CoverPoint(BinSingle(0))</pre>	<pre>cp_zero: coverpoint signal {     bins bin_0 = {0}; }</pre>	1	0
Bool	<pre>cp_bool =   CoverPoint(BinBool())</pre>	<pre>cp_bool: coverpoint signal {    bins TRUE = {1};    bins FALSE = {0}; }</pre>	2	FALSE(0), TRUE(1)
Enum	<pre>class MyEnum(IntEnum): STATE_0 = 0b00 STATE_1 = 0b01 STATE_2 = 0b10 STATE_3 = 0b11 cp_enum = CoverPoint(BinEnum(MyEnum))</pre>	<pre>cp_enum: coverpoint signal {    bins STATE_0 = {0};    bins STATE_1 = {1};    bins STATE_2 = {2};    bins STATE_3 = {3}; }</pre>	4	STATE_0(0), STATE_1(1), STATE_2(2), STATE_3(3)
Range	<pre>cp_range = CoverPoint(BinRange(64))</pre>	<pre>cp_range: coverpoint signal {     bins bin_0_63[64] = {[0:63]}; }</pre>	64	[0:63]/64
Uniform	<pre>cp_uniform =   CoverPoint(BinUniform(   0, 128, num=5))</pre>	<pre>cp_uniform: coverpoint signal {     bins bin_0_127[5] = {[0:127]}; }</pre>	5	[0:127]/5
MinMax	<pre>cp_minmax =    CoverPoint(BinMinMax(    min=0, max=127, num_bins=5))</pre>	<pre>cp_minmax: coverpoint signal {     bins bin_0 = {0};     bins bin_1_126[3] = {[1:126]};     bins bin_127 = {127}; }</pre>	5	0, [1:126]/3, 127
Exp	<pre>cp_exp = CoverPoint(BinExp(16))</pre>	<pre>cp_exp: coverpoint signal {    bins bin_0 = {0};    bins bin_1_1 = {[1:1]};    bins bin_2_3 = {[2:3]};    bins bin_4_7 = {[4:7]};    bins bin_8_15 = {[8:15]}; }</pre>	5	0, [1:1], [2:3], [4:7], [8:15]
Bitwise	<pre>cp_bitwise =   CoverPoint(BinBitwise(4))</pre>	<pre>cp_bitwise_0: coverpoint signal[0]; cp_bitwise_1: coverpoint signal[1]; cp_bitwise_2: coverpoint signal[2]; cp_bitwise_3: coverpoint signal[3];</pre>	8	[0:1]/2 for bit 0~3
OneHot	<pre>cp_onehot =   CoverPoint(BinOneHot(4))</pre>	<pre>cp_onehot: coverpoint signal {     bins bin_0x1 = {'h1};     bins bin_0x2 = {'h2};     bins bin_0x4 = {'h4};     bins bin_0x8 = {'h8}; }</pre>	4	0x1, 0x2, 0x4, 0x8
Transition	<pre>cp_transition = CoverPoint(BinTransition( (2,5), (2,10), (3,8) ))</pre>	<pre>cp_onehot: coverpoint signal {     bins bin_2_5 = (2=&gt;5);     bins bin_2_10 = (2=&gt;10);     bins bin_3_8 = (3=&gt;8); }</pre>	3	2=>5, 2=>10, 3=>8

Table	1. Pre-	defined	bin	types	and	generated	outputs
				21		0	

Python Definition	Generated SystemVerilog Coverage
<pre>def narrow_strobe(self, bus_byte_width): for i in range(log2Ceil(bus_byte_width)): size = 1 &lt;&lt; i for j in range(bus_byte_width // size): strobe = ((1 &lt;&lt; size) - 1) &lt;&lt; (j * size) yield (f"en{size}byte_{hex(strobe)}", strobe) class AxiNarrowTransferCoverage(CoverGroup): cp_strobe = CoverPoint(narrow_strobe(8), format="x") bb cg_narrow = AxiNarrowTransferCoverage() bb bb bb</pre>	<pre>rgroup cg_narrow; robe: coverpoint cg_narrow_cp_strobe { ins en1_0x1 = {'h1}; bins en1_0x2 = {'h2}; bins en1_0x2 = {'h2}; bins en1_0x8 = {'h4}; bins en1_0x8 = {'h4}; bins en1_0x10 = {'h10}; bins en1_0x20 = {'h20}; bins en1_0x40 = {'h40}; bins en1_0x80 = {'h20}; bins en2_0x3 = {'h3}; bins en2_0x3 = {'h3}; bins en2_0x30 = {'h30}; bins en2_0x20 = {'h20}; bins en2_0x30 = {'h20}; bins en2_0x40 = {'h20}; bins en2_0x40 = {'h20}; bins en2_0x40 = {'h20}; bins en4_0x40 = {'h40}; bins en4_0x40 = {'h40}; bins</pre>



Python Definition	Generated SystemVerilog Coverage
<pre>class MyCrossCoverage(CoverGroup): cp_1 = CoverPoint([0, 1]) cp_2 = CoverPoint([2, 3]) cp_3 = CoverPoint([4, 5]) cr = Cross([cp_1, cp_2, cp_3]) cg_cross = MyCrossCoverage()</pre>	<pre>covergroup cg_cross; cp_1: coverpoint cg_cross_cp_1 { bins bin_0 = {0}; bins bin_1 = {1}; } cp_2: coverpoint cg_cross_cp_2 { bins bin_2 = {2}; bins bin_3 = {3}; } cp_3: coverpoint cg_cross_cp_3 { bins bin_4 = {4}; bins bin_5 = {5}; } }</pre>
	cr: cross cp_1, cp_2, cp_3;

Figure 5. Example of cross coverage

# B. Generate SystemVerilog Layer and Coverage Document

Once the coverage modeling in Python is finished, our proposed library can generate a SystemVerilog layer and corresponding coverage documents in markdown format. The generation process is command-based and fully automated, so there is no human intervention. The generated coverage document consists of markdown tables and can be imported to the verification plan for a review. Each covergroup has two tables, one for coverpoints and the other for cross coverage as in Figure 11. One helpful feature in the coverage document is the column with the number of bins, to check if the defined coverage model has an appropriate number of bins.

# C. Bring-up Testbench and Collect Coverage

The next step is writing coverage collection codes for each coverage model. To collect coverage, coverpoint signals, and sample signals in the SystemVerilog layer should be driven by the Python Testbench. The connection between the Python testbench and the SystemVerilog layer is established by calling a predefined connect method with a hierarchical path to the SystemVerilog layer as an input.

Figure 6 shows an example that measures coverage through a coverpoint handler and a sample handler. When the coverage collector wants to sample a value, it assigns the value to a coverpoint handler so that it deposits the value to the SystemVerilog layer, and then calls a sample handler to toggle a sample signal in the SystemVerilog layer.

As coverage databases are created by the logic simulator, it is possible to measure other coverage metrics such as code coverage at the same time as a unified coverage database.

```
class MyCoverageCollector:
    def __init__(self, dut):
        self.my_cov = MyCoverageModel()
        cov_inst = getattr(dut, self.my_cov.sv_instname)
    # connect python coverage objects
        self.my_cov.connect(cov_inst)
    def collect_coverage(self, coverpoint_value):
        # assign sampling values
        self.my_cov.my_cg.my_cp <= coverpoint_value
    # trigger sampling
        self.my_cov.my_cg.sample()
```

# Figure 6. Code snippet of coverage collector

### D. Analyze and Review Functional Coverage

The final step for coverage closure is to analyze measured coverage data. The EDA vendors have pretty good coverage analysis tools with GUIs which help view overall coverage status, detect coverage holes, exclude unreachable bins, etc. By leveraging those coverage analysis tools, it is possible to achieve coverage closure as efficiently as using SystemVerilog testbenches.

# IV. METHODOLOGY EXAMPLE

This chapter shows real use-cases with our proposed library. The first one is a simple matrix multiplier and the second one is an AXI interface. Complete source codes can be found in our GitHub repository: https://github.com/furiosa-ai/dvcon2024-functial-coverage-closure-with-python.

#### A. A Simple Use Case: Matrix Multiplier

```
class DataInCoverGroup(CoverGroup):
    a = [CoverPoint(BinMinMax(0, (1<<DATA_WIDTH) - 1, DATA_WIDTH/2)) for _ in range(A_ROWS * A_COLUMNS_B_ROWS * B_COLUMNS)]
    b = [CoverPoint(BinMinMax(0, (1<<DATA_WIDTH) - 1, DATA_WIDTH/2)) for _ in range(A_ROWS * A_COLUMNS_B_ROWS * B_COLUMNS)]
    cross_a_b = [Cross([a_i, b_i]) for a_i, b_i in zip(a, b)]
class DataOutCoverGroup(CoverGroup):
    c = [CoverPoint(BinMinMax(0, (1<<C_DATA_WIDTH) - 1, C_DATA_WIDTH/2)) for _ in range(A_ROWS * B_COLUMNS)]
class DataInCoverage(CoverageModel):
    cg_data_in = DataInCoverGroup()
class DataOutCoverage(CoverageModel):
    cg_data_out = DataOutCoverGroup()
data_in_cov = DataOutCoverage("data_in_cov_inst")
    data_out_cov = DataOutCoverage("data_out_cov_inst")
```



```
class InputDataValidMonitor(DataValidMonitor):
    def __init__(self, clk, datas, valid, dut, coverage):
        super().__init__(clk, datas, valid)
        self.coverage = coverage
        self.coverage.cg_data_in.connect(getattr(dut, coverage.sv_instname))
    def _sample(self) -> Dict[str, Any]:
    a_matrix = self._datas["A"].value
        b_matrix = self._datas["B"].value
        for i, j, n in itertools.product(range(A_ROWS), range(B_COLUMNS), range(A_COLUMNS_B_ROWS)):
            a_idx = (i * A_COLUMNS_B_ROWS) + n
            b_{idx} = (n * B_{COLUMNS}) + j
            m_idx = (j * A_COLUMNS_B_ROWS * A_ROWS) + (i * A_COLUMNS_B_ROWS) + n
            self.coverage.cg_data_in[m_idx].a <= a_matrix[a_idx].value</pre>
            self.coverage.cg_data_in[m_idx].b <= b_matrix[b_idx].value</pre>
        self.coverage.cg_data_in[m_idx].sample()
        return super(). sample()
class OutputDataValidMonitor(DataValidMonitor):
    def __init__(self, clk, datas, valid, dut, coverage):
        super().__init__(clk, datas, valid)
        self.coverage = coverage
        self.coverage.cg_data_out.connect(getattr(dut, coverage.sv_instname))
    def _sample(self) -> Dict[str, Any]:
    c_matrix = self._datas["C"].value
        for i, j in itertools.product(range(A_ROWS), range(B_COLUMNS)):
            idx = i * (B_COLUMNS) + j
            self.coverage.cg_data_out.c[idx] <= c_matrix[idx].value</pre>
        self.coverage.cg_data_out.sample()
        return super()._sample()
```

Figure 8. Coverage collection of the matrix multiplier

Group		🛆 Score	Inst	ances	U+C	J	:	Nam	ne		Score	Line	Toggle	Branch	V FSM
😨 🚾 matrix_multiplier.data	_in_cov_inst::cg_	_data_in	75.28%		28800	9520	19280	ė-	📅 matr	ix_multiplier	95.839	6 100.0	00%	87.50%	100.00%
🗄 🤷 🚾 matrix_multiplier.data	_out_cov_inst::c	g_data	43.95%		760	426	334	1	- 💼 r	natrix_multiplier	95.839	6 100.0	00%	87.50%	100.00%
Cover Group Item	∆ So	core	Instances	U+C	U	c i	x 🛆	Ī	31	data_out_cov dat	ta_out_cov_inst	();			
- CG matrix multiplie	r.data in	75.28%		28800	9520	19280			33	logic [C_DATA_W]	DTH-1:0] c_cal	Lc[A_ROWS * I	B_COLUMNS];		
CP a_0		80.00%		10	2	8			34 35	always @(*) begi	in : multiply				
CP a_1		80.00%		10	2	8		~	36	logic [C_DATA	WIDTH-1:0] c_e	element;		OME	
CP a 2		80.00%		10	2	8		0	37	for (int j =	= 0; j < B COLI	JMNS; j = j	+ 1) begin	: C COLUMNS	
CP a 3		80.00%		10	2	8		0	39	c_element	= 0;				
u_0_0		00.00%		10	-	0	M		40	for (int)	$c = 0; k < A_cont = c element$	+ (a il(i *	A COLUMNS	1) begin : DO B ROWS) + $k1$	PRODUCT
M								. Č	42	end					
Status Bin Name	🛆 Type	At Least Size	Hit Count					0	43	c_calc[(i	* B_COLUMNS) ·	• j] = c_elen	ment;		
bin 16384 2	4575 User	r 1	1 381						44	end					
	User	r 1	1 347						46	end					
- v bin 24576 3	2767 User	r 1	1 339						47						
	0958 User	r 1	1 380					0	48	if (reset i) h	e cik_i) begin	: proc_reg			
	9150 User	r 1	1 381					õ	50	valid_o <=	L'Ь0;				
	7342 User	r 1	1 375						51						
	5534 User	r 1	1 401					0	52	c of idxl	c = 0; 1 dx < (1)	A_ROWS * B_CO	DLUMNS); 1d	x++) begin	
	383 User	r 1	1 396					Ť.,	54	end					
-X bin_max_65	535 User	r 1	1 0						55	end else begin	1				
w X bin min 0	User	r 1	1 0					0	56	valid_o <= v	/alld_1;				

Figure 9. Screenshot of functional and code coverage result

Figure 7 represents coverage models for the matrix multiplier, which is one of the Cocotb examples. There are two covergroups, one for two input matrices and the other for one output matrix. The number of input coverage bins is equal to the total number of multiplications and the number of output coverage bins is equal to the number of elements of the output matrix. List comprehensions are used to efficiently generate coverpoint instances with the same type.

In Figure 8, coverage collection is done by extending the existing bus monitor. Since the \_sample method is called at every valid transaction, we override this method and add coverage related codes to sample coverage.

Figure 9 shows the collected coverage metrics after running a certain number of tests. As in the figure, both code coverage and functional coverage are displayed together so that verification engineers can identify overall verification status easily, which contributes to faster coverage closure.

## B. A More Practical Use Case: AXI Interface

pass

Figure 10 is an example of a functional coverage model for the AMBA AXI using the proposed library [5]. All coverpoint classes are concisely described with predefined bin classes and all covergroup classes are simply described by inheriting base or extended covergroup classes. Figure 11 and Figure 12 are the generated markdown document on a markdown viewer and measured coverage on one of the EDA vendor's coverage analysis tools, respectively.

```
class AxiAddressChannel(CoverGroup):
    cp_id = CoverPoint(BinRange(1 << config.id_width))</pre>
    cp_address = CoverPoint(BinExp(config.addr_width), format="x")
    cp_burst_type = CoverPoint(BinEnum(AxiBurstType))
    cp_burst_size = CoverPoint(BinOneHot(int(log2(config.data_width >> 3)) + 1))
    cp_burst_len = CoverPoint(BinMinMax(min=1, max=256, num_bins=8))
    cp_protection = [CoverPoint(BinEnum(AxiProtectionPrivleged))
                     CoverPoint(BinEnum(AxiProtectionSecure))
                     CoverPoint(BinEnum(AxiProtectionInstruction))]
    cp lock = CoverPoint(BinBool())
    cp gos = CoverPoint(BinRange(16))
    cp_region = CoverPoint(BinRange(16))
    cp_user = CoverPoint(BinBitwise(config.user_width))
    cross_burst_type_size_len = Cross([cp_burst_type, cp_burst_size, cp_burst_len])
class AxiDataChannel(CoverGroup):
    cp_data = CoverPoint(BinUniform(num_bins=64, width=config.data_width), format="x")
class AxiResponseChannel(CoverGroup):
    cp_id = CoverPoint(BinRange(1 << config.id_width))</pre>
    cp_response = CoverPoint(BinEnum(AxiResponse))
class AxiWriteAddressChannel(AxiAddressChannel):
    cp_cache = CoverPoint(BinEnum(AxiWriteCache), format="b")
class AxiWriteDataChannel(AxiDataChannel):
    cp_strobe = CoverPoint(BinBitwise(config.data_width >> 3), format="x")
class AxiWriteResponseChannel(AxiResponseChannel):
```

```
class AxiReadAddressChannel(AxiAddressChannel):
    cp_cache = CoverPoint(BinEnum(AxiReadCache), format="b")
class AxiReadDataChannel(AxiDataChannel, AxiResponseChannel):
    pass
class AxiProtocolCoverage(CoverageModel):
    cg_write_address = AxiWriteAddressChannel()
    cg_write_data = AxiWriteDataChannel()
    cg_write_response = AxiWriteResponseChannel()
    cg_read_address = AxiWriteResponseChannel()
    cg_read_data = AxiReadDataChannel()
    cg_read_data = AxiReadDataChannel()
```

# Figure 10. Functional coverage definition for AMBA AXI

Covergroup cg\_read\_address

Coverpoint	Width	Bin Type	# of Bins	Bins		Illegal Bins
cp_address	[16:0]	exp	18	'h0, ['h1:'h1],, ['h10000:'h1	Iffff]	-
cp_burst_len	[8:0]	minmax	10	1, [2:32],, 256		-
cp_burst_size	[2:0]	onehot	3	1, 2, 4		-
cp_burst_type	[1:0]	enum	3	FIXED(0), INCR(1), WRAP(2)		-
cp_cache	[3:0]	enum	12	DEVICE_NON_BUFFERABLE NORMAL_NON_CACHEABLE NORMAL_NON_CACHEABLE WRITE_THROUGH_NO_ALL WRITE_THROUGH_READ_AI WRITE_THROUGH_READ_AI WRITE_THROUGH_READ_AI WRITE_BACK_NO_ALLOCAT WRITE_BACK_READ_ALLOC WRITE_BACK_READ_ALLOC	('b0), DEVICE_BUFFERABLE('b1), E_NON_BUFFERABLE('b10), E_BUFFERABLE('b11), DCATE('b1010), LLOCATE('b10, 'b1110), ALLOCATE('b1010), ND_WRITE_ALLOCATE('b1110), Te('b1011), CATE('b1011, 'b1111), CATE('b1011), VRITE_ALLOCATE('b1111)	-
cp_id	[1:0]	range	4	[0:3]/4		-
cp_lock	[0:0]	bool	2	[0:1]/2		-
cp_protection_0	[0:0]	enum	2	UNPRIVILEGED(0), PRIVILEG	GED(1)	-
cp_protection_1	[0:0]	enum	2	SECURE(0), NON_SECURE(	1)	-
cp_protection_2	[0:0]	enum	2	INSTRUCTION(0), DATA(1)		-
cp_qos	[3:0]	range	16	[0:15]/16		-
cp_region	[3:0]	range	16	[0:15]/16		-
cp_user	[2:0]	bitwise	6	[0:1]/2 for each bit		-
Cross		Coverpo	ints		# of Bins	
cross_burst_type_	_size_len	cp_burst	_type, c	p_burst_size, cp_burst_len	90	

Covergroup cg\_read\_data

Coverpoint	Width	Bin Type	# of Bins	Bins	Illegal Bins
cp_data	[31:0]	uniform	64	['h0:'h3ffffff], ['h4000000:'h7ffffff],, ['hfc000000:'hffffffff]	-
cp_id	[1:0]	range	4	[0:3]/4	-
cp_response	[1:0]	enum	4	OKAY(0), EXOKAY(1), SLVERR(2), DECERR(3)	-

Figure 11. Generated functional coverage document for AMBA AXI

					Cover G	iroup Item	∇ Score		Instanc	es	U+C	U	С	
				1		<pre>p_cache</pre>		100.00%				.2	0	1
🛆 Score	U+C U	С		[	Status	Bin Name			Type	At Lea	st Size	Hit Cou	unt	i
99.72%	186	4	182	14		WRITE_BACK_RE	AD_ALLOCATE		User		1 1		277	
100.00%	18	0	18			WRITE_THROUGI	H_READ_ALLOCATE		User		1 1		261	
100.00%	10	0	10	14		WRITE_THROUGI	H_WRITE_ALLOCATE		User		1 1		141	
100.00%	3	0	3	1		WRITE_THROUGH	H_NO_ALLOCATE		User		1 1		141	
100.00%	3	0	3	£		DEVICE_BUFFER/	ABLE		User		1 1		120	1
100.00%	12	0	12		Cover G	iroun Item	∇ Score		Instanc	ec	U+C	U	c	i
100.00%	4	0	4		L	cross burst type	e size len	95.56%	Instance			90	4	a
100.00%	2	0	2	1	_		1							l
100.00%	2	0	2	11	Status -	cp burst type 👻	cp burst size	cp bur	st ler 🛩	Тур ▼	At Least	t Size	Hit Cou	į
100.00%	2	0	2		- X	[WRAP]	[bin_bit_1]	[bin_mir	n_1]	Auto		1 1		l
100.00%	2	0	2		- X	[INCR]	[bin_bit_2]	[bin_ma	x_256]	Auto		1 1		ĺ
100.00%	16	0	16	14	e X	[FIXED]	[bin_bit_2 , bin_bit_1	.] [bin_ma	x_256]	Auto		1 2		
100.00%	16	0	16			FIXED	bin_bit_2	bin_max	x_256	Auto		1 1	_	
100.00%	2	0	2	17	Lee 2	FIXED	bin_bit_1	bin_max	x_256	Auto		1 1	_	
100.00%	2	0	2			WRAP	bin_bit_2	bin_65_	96	Auto		1 1		
100.00%	2	0	2	E		WRAP	bin_bit_2	bin_63_	90 64	Auto		1 1		
95.56%	90	4	86			1A/D A D	hin hit 2	hin 2 2	2	Auto		1 1		
100.00%	72	4	72		Cover	Froup Item	∇ Score		Instanc	es	U+C	U	с	ſ
100.00%	64	0	64			cp_response		100.00%				4	0	l
100.00%	4	0	4	1										ļ
100.00%	4	0	4	ſ .	Status	Bin Name			Туре	At Lea	st Size	Hit Cou	unt	
				ų –		DECERR			User		1 1		538	
				1		SLVERR			User		1 1		524	
				1.1		EXOKAY			User		1 1		524	
	<ul> <li>✓ Score</li> <li>9,72%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%     100.00%</li></ul>	Score         U+C         U           ■ 99,72%         166           ■ 100,00%         18           ■ 100,00%         10           ■ 100,00%         3           ■ 100,00%         3           ■ 100,00%         4           ■ 100,00%         2           ■ 100,00%         2           ■ 100,00%         2           ■ 100,00%         2           ■ 100,00%         2           ■ 100,00%         2           ■ 100,00%         2           ■ 100,00%         2           ■ 100,00%         2           ■ 100,00%         2           ■ 100,00%         2           ■ 100,00%         4           ■ 100,00%         4           ■ 100,00%         4	Score         U+C         U         C           9972%         186         4           100.00%         18         0           100.00%         18         0           100.00%         13         0           100.00%         3         0           100.00%         12         0           100.00%         2         0           100.00%         2         0           100.00%         2         0           100.00%         2         0           100.00%         2         0           100.00%         16         0           100.00%         2         0           100.00%         2         0           100.00%         2         0           100.00%         2         0           100.00%         2         0           100.00%         2         0           100.00%         2         0           100.00%         2         0           100.00%         4         0           100.00%         4         0	Score         U+C         U         C           ■99.72%         186         4         182           ■100.00%         10         0         10           ■100.00%         10         0         10           ■100.00%         10         0         3           ■100.00%         12         0         12           ■100.00%         2         0         2           ■100.00%         2         0         2           ■100.00%         2         0         2           ■100.00%         2         0         2           ■100.00%         2         0         2           ■100.00%         16         0         16           ■100.00%         16         0         16           ■100.00%         2         0         2           ■100.00%         2         0         2           ■100.00%         2         0         2           ■100.00%         2         0         2           ■100.00%         4         0         4           ■100.00%         4         0         4	Score         U+C         U         C           99,72%         166         182           100.00%         10         0         10           100.00%         10         0         10           100.00%         10         0         10           100.00%         10         0         10           100.00%         2         0         12           100.00%         2         0         12           100.00%         2         0         2           100.00%         2         0         2           100.00%         2         0         2           100.00%         2         0         2           100.00%         2         0         2           100.00%         2         0         2           100.00%         2         0         2           100.00%         2         0         2           100.00%         2         0         2           100.00%         2         0         2           100.00%         2         0         2           100.00%         2         0         2           100.00%<	✓         Score         U+C         U         C           99.72%         186         182         184           100.00%         18         0         18           100.00%         10         0         10           100.00%         10         0         10           100.00%         12         0         12           100.00%         2         0         2           100.00%         16         0         16           100.00%         2         0         2           100.00%         16         0         16           100.00%         2         0         2           100.00%         2         0         2           100.00%         16         0         16           100.00%         2         0         2           100.00%         2         0         2           100.00%         4         0         4           100.00%         4         0         4           100.00%         4         0         4           100.00%         4         0         4	Score         U+C         U         C           99.72%         186         4         182           100.00%         18         0         18           100.00%         10         0         10           100.00%         0         0         10           100.00%         0         0         10           100.00%         0         10         0           100.00%         0         10         0           100.00%         0         10         0           100.00%         0         10         0           100.00%         0         12         12           100.00%         2         0         2           100.00%         2         0         2           100.00%         2         0         2           100.00%         2         0         2           100.00%         2         0         2           100.00%         2         0         2           100.00%         2         0         2           100.00%         2         0         2           100.00%         0         4         6	Score         U+C         U         C           9972%         186         182           100.00%         18         0         18           100.00%         10         0         10           100.00%         10         0         10           100.00%         20         2         0         10           100.00%         2         0         2         0           100.00%         2         0         2         0           100.00%         2         0         2         0           100.00%         2         0         2         0           100.00%         2         0         2         0           100.00%         2         0         2         0           100.00%         16         0         16         0           100.00%         2         0         2         2           100.00%         2         0         2         2           100.00%         2         0         2         2           100.00%         2         0         2         2           100.00%         2         0         2         2<	✓         Score         U+C         U         C           99.72%         186         4         182           100.00%         16         0         16           100.00%         10         0         10           100.00%         10         0         10           100.00%         10         10         10           100.00%         10         10         10           100.00%         10         10         10           100.00%         10         10         10           100.00%         10         10         10           100.00%         2         2         10           100.00%         2         2         2           100.00%         2         2         2           100.00%         2         2         2           100.00%         2         2         2           100.00%         2         2         2           100.00%         2         2         2           100.00%         2         2         2           100.00%         2         2         2           100.00%         2         2	Score         U+C         U         C           99.72%         186         4         100.00%           100.00%         18         0         110           100.00%         18         0         10           100.00%         10         0         10           100.00%         10         0         10           100.00%         3         0         3           100.00%         2         0         12           100.00%         2         0         22           100.00%         2         0         22           100.00%         2         0         22           100.00%         2         0         22           100.00%         2         0         22           100.00%         2         0         22           100.00%         2         0         22           100.00%         2         0         22           100.00%         2         0         22           100.00%         2         0         22           100.00%         2         0         22           100.00%         2         0         22	Score         U+C         U         Cover Group Item         Score         Instances           9972%         186         180         18         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180         180	Score         U+C         U         C           ● 99.72%         186         4         182           ● 100.00%         18         0         18           ● 100.00%         10         10         1         1           ● 00.00%         10         10         10         1         1           ● 00.00%         10         0         10         1         1         1           ● 00.00%         10         0         10         0         1         1         1           ● 00.00%         10         0         10         0         1         1         1           ● 00.00%         10         0         10         0         1         1         1           ● 00.00%         10         0         10         0         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10 <t< td=""><td>Score         U+C         U         C           9972%         136         4         122           100.00%         18         0         18         1         1           100.00%         10         0         10         1         1         1           100.00%         10         0         10         1         1         1         1           100.00%         10         0         10         1         1         1         1         1           100.00%         10         0         10         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1</td><td>Score       U+C       U       Cover Group Item       Score       Instances       U+C       U       C                99.72%       186       186       186       186       18       Instance       Type       At Least       Size       HE Court                100.00%       10       0       10       1       22       0                100.00%       16       0       16       1       22       0                100.00%       10       0       10       1       24       24       24                100.00%       10       0       10       1       1       24       24                100.00%       12       0       22       0       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       <td< td=""></td<></td></t<>	Score         U+C         U         C           9972%         136         4         122           100.00%         18         0         18         1         1           100.00%         10         0         10         1         1         1           100.00%         10         0         10         1         1         1         1           100.00%         10         0         10         1         1         1         1         1           100.00%         10         0         10         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1	Score       U+C       U       Cover Group Item       Score       Instances       U+C       U       C                99.72%       186       186       186       186       18       Instance       Type       At Least       Size       HE Court                100.00%       10       0       10       1       22       0                100.00%       16       0       16       1       22       0                100.00%       10       0       10       1       24       24       24                100.00%       10       0       10       1       1       24       24                100.00%       12       0       22       0       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3 <td< td=""></td<>

Figure 12. Measured coverage for AMBA AXI on EDA vendor's coverage analysis tool

# V. CONCLUSION

Existing Python coverage libraries had limited coverage features and lacked coverage analyzing functionality, making it difficult to perform coverage closure on Python-based testbenches. The proposed library seamlessly integrates SystemVerilog and Python to implement various types of coverage bins, and is fully compatible with EDA tools, allowing use of existing coverage workflows. In addition, we've leveraged the strengths of Python to concisely implement coverage and automatically generate documentation for review. These advantages empower engineers, streamlining the path to efficient and effective functional coverage closure.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the Institute of Information & communications Technology Planning & evaluation (IITP) grant funded by the Korean government (MSIT, Development of Artificial Intelligence Deep Learning Processor Technology for Complex Transaction Processing Server) under No. 2020-0-01309-001.

#### References

[1] Foster H. "The 2022 Wilson Research Group Functional Verification Study", Oct 2022. [Online]. Available:

[3] Cieplucha, Marek, and W. Pleskacz. "New constrained random and metric-driven verification methodology using python." In Proceedings of the design and verification conference and exhibition US (DVCon). 2017

- [4] Ballance, M. "PyVSC: SystemVerilog-Style Constraints, and Coverage in Python."
- [5] ARM, "AMBA AXI Protocol Specification", no. K, Sep. 2023, [online] Available: http://www.arm.com.

https://blogs.sw.siemens.com/verificationhorizons/2022/10/10/prologue-the-2022-wilson-research-group-functional-verification-study

 [2]
 Rosser, Benjamin John. "Cocotb: a Python-based digital logic verification framework." In Micro-electronics Section seminar. Geneva, Switzerland: CERN, 2018